

TABLE 39. A COUNTY COMPARISON OF STATE RETAIL TAXABLE SALES FOR 2001-2002 AND 2015-2016

[RANK, CONTRIBUTION PERCENTAGE, AND OVERALL GROWTH]

| Fiscal year 2001-2002 | | | | | | Fiscal year 2015-2016 | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|------|------------|------------------|------|------------|-----------------------|------|------------|----------------|------------------|------|------------|----------------|
| County | Rank | % of total | County | Rank | % of total | County | Rank | % of total | % change 16/02 | County | Rank | % of total | % change 16/02 |
| Alamance..... | 14 | 1.27% | Johnston..... | 21 | 0.91% | Alamance..... | 10 | 1.70% | 119.8% | Johnston..... | 18 | 1.20% | 114.8% |
| Alexander..... | 77 | 0.12% | Jones..... | 96 | 0.03% | Alexander..... | 76 | 0.14% | 84.4% | Jones..... | 99 | 0.02% | 31.6% |
| Alleghany..... | 86 | 0.05% | Lee..... | 36 | 0.53% | Alleghany..... | 91 | 0.05% | 61.9% | Lee..... | 36 | 0.55% | 69.1% |
| Anson..... | 78 | 0.11% | Lenoir..... | 35 | 0.58% | Anson..... | 78 | 0.11% | 60.4% | Lenoir..... | 46 | 0.39% | 9.9% |
| Ashe..... | 74 | 0.14% | Lincoln..... | 44 | 0.42% | Ashe..... | 68 | 0.18% | 109.9% | Lincoln..... | 39 | 0.50% | 93.6% |
| Avery..... | 71 | 0.16% | Macon..... | 46 | 0.37% | Avery..... | 69 | 0.18% | 79.8% | Macon..... | 50 | 0.35% | 52.2% |
| Beaufort..... | 47 | 0.37% | Madison..... | 90 | 0.05% | Beaufort..... | 52 | 0.34% | 49.4% | Madison..... | 84 | 0.07% | 157.9% |
| Bertie..... | 94 | 0.04% | Martin..... | 65 | 0.20% | Bertie..... | 86 | 0.06% | 194.6% | Martin..... | 74 | 0.15% | 20.0% |
| Bladen..... | 69 | 0.17% | McDowell..... | 64 | 0.20% | Bladen..... | 73 | 0.15% | 49.7% | McDowell..... | 61 | 0.25% | 100.9% |
| Brunswick..... | 26 | 0.76% | Mecklenburg.... | 1 | 12.59% | Brunswick..... | 19 | 1.13% | 141.9% | Mecklenburg.... | 1 | 15.33% | 98.7% |
| Buncombe..... | 6 | 2.87% | Mitchell..... | 76 | 0.13% | Buncombe..... | 6 | 3.67% | 109.0% | Mitchell..... | 79 | 0.10% | 28.7% |
| Burke..... | 40 | 0.48% | Montgomery..... | 73 | 0.15% | Burke..... | 38 | 0.51% | 72.9% | Montgomery..... | 77 | 0.13% | 39.9% |
| Cabarrus..... | 10 | 1.57% | Moore..... | 28 | 0.73% | Cabarrus..... | 9 | 2.42% | 151.4% | Moore..... | 23 | 0.89% | 99.2% |
| Caldwell..... | 42 | 0.46% | Nash..... | 17 | 0.97% | Caldwell..... | 41 | 0.45% | 59.6% | Nash..... | 30 | 0.77% | 29.5% |
| Camden..... | 98 | 0.02% | New Hanover.... | 7 | 2.61% | Camden..... | 97 | 0.04% | 230.5% | New Hanover.... | 7 | 3.15% | 96.5% |
| Carteret..... | 29 | 0.73% | Northampton.... | 89 | 0.05% | Carteret..... | 26 | 0.81% | 82.8% | Northampton.... | 85 | 0.07% | 143.6% |
| Caswell..... | 93 | 0.04% | Onslow..... | 19 | 0.95% | Caswell..... | 94 | 0.05% | 112.1% | Onslow..... | 15 | 1.47% | 152.6% |
| Catawba..... | 9 | 1.83% | Orange..... | 20 | 0.95% | Catawba..... | 12 | 1.65% | 47.2% | Orange..... | 17 | 1.22% | 111.2% |
| Chatham..... | 56 | 0.25% | Pamlico..... | 91 | 0.05% | Chatham..... | 42 | 0.42% | 175.8% | Pamlico..... | 87 | 0.06% | 126.8% |
| Cherokee..... | 58 | 0.24% | Pasquotank..... | 49 | 0.36% | Cherokee..... | 67 | 0.20% | 36.5% | Pasquotank..... | 49 | 0.35% | 61.0% |
| Chowan..... | 80 | 0.08% | Pender..... | 72 | 0.15% | Chowan..... | 82 | 0.09% | 89.7% | Pender..... | 55 | 0.31% | 239.6% |
| Clay..... | 88 | 0.05% | Perquimans..... | 97 | 0.03% | Clay..... | 90 | 0.05% | 82.1% | Perquimans..... | 93 | 0.05% | 179.9% |
| Cleveland..... | 32 | 0.68% | Person..... | 57 | 0.24% | Cleveland..... | 33 | 0.64% | 53.0% | Person..... | 65 | 0.23% | 54.6% |
| Columbus..... | 52 | 0.32% | Pitt..... | 12 | 1.39% | Columbus..... | 59 | 0.28% | 41.2% | Pitt..... | 11 | 1.67% | 96.7% |
| Craven..... | 31 | 0.68% | Polk..... | 81 | 0.07% | Craven..... | 29 | 0.77% | 85.6% | Polk..... | 81 | 0.10% | 124.8% |
| Cumberland.... | 8 | 2.45% | Randolph..... | 25 | 0.78% | Cumberland.... | 8 | 3.00% | 100.3% | Randolph..... | 27 | 0.81% | 70.5% |
| Currituck..... | 66 | 0.20% | Richmond..... | 53 | 0.29% | Currituck..... | 51 | 0.34% | 179.5% | Richmond..... | 57 | 0.28% | 58.6% |
| Dare..... | 15 | 1.13% | Robeson..... | 30 | 0.70% | Dare..... | 20 | 1.06% | 51.8% | Robeson..... | 28 | 0.78% | 80.9% |
| Davidson..... | 23 | 0.87% | Rockingham.... | 38 | 0.49% | Davidson..... | 22 | 0.91% | 70.3% | Rockingham.... | 40 | 0.49% | 60.4% |
| Davie..... | 68 | 0.18% | Rowan..... | 22 | 0.87% | Davie..... | 64 | 0.23% | 106.7% | Rowan..... | 21 | 0.91% | 70.6% |
| Duplin..... | 60 | 0.23% | Rutherford..... | 45 | 0.41% | Duplin..... | 56 | 0.28% | 97.1% | Rutherford..... | 44 | 0.40% | 62.8% |
| Durham..... | 5 | 3.49% | Sampson..... | 51 | 0.34% | Durham..... | 4 | 5.04% | 135.3% | Sampson..... | 48 | 0.36% | 72.5% |
| Edgecombe..... | 54 | 0.28% | Scotland..... | 59 | 0.24% | Edgecombe..... | 60 | 0.26% | 50.2% | Scotland..... | 66 | 0.21% | 41.7% |
| Forsyth..... | 4 | 4.06% | Stanly..... | 41 | 0.47% | Forsyth..... | 5 | 3.84% | 54.1% | Stanly..... | 45 | 0.40% | 38.7% |
| Franklin..... | 61 | 0.23% | Stokes..... | 75 | 0.13% | Franklin..... | 58 | 0.28% | 101.1% | Stokes..... | 71 | 0.16% | 96.1% |
| Gaston..... | 11 | 1.49% | Surry..... | 33 | 0.67% | Gaston..... | 14 | 1.51% | 65.3% | Surry..... | 32 | 0.65% | 56.8% |
| Gates..... | 99 | 0.02% | Swain..... | 83 | 0.06% | Gates..... | 98 | 0.03% | 159.4% | Swain..... | 80 | 0.10% | 161.6% |
| Graham..... | 95 | 0.03% | Transylvania.... | 62 | 0.21% | Graham..... | 96 | 0.04% | 128.0% | Transylvania.... | 63 | 0.23% | 77.7% |
| Granville..... | 63 | 0.21% | Tyrrell..... | 100 | 0.01% | Granville..... | 62 | 0.25% | 92.1% | Tyrrell..... | 100 | 0.02% | 114.4% |
| Greene..... | 92 | 0.04% | Union..... | 16 | 1.00% | Greene..... | 92 | 0.05% | 110.9% | Union..... | 16 | 1.40% | 129.5% |
| Guilford..... | 3 | 6.23% | Vance..... | 48 | 0.37% | Guilford..... | 3 | 5.23% | 37.1% | Vance..... | 54 | 0.33% | 44.2% |
| Halifax..... | 50 | 0.35% | Wake..... | 2 | 9.44% | Halifax..... | 47 | 0.36% | 65.5% | Wake..... | 2 | 11.17% | 93.1% |
| Harnett..... | 43 | 0.43% | Warren..... | 85 | 0.05% | Harnett..... | 34 | 0.61% | 130.4% | Warren..... | 88 | 0.06% | 75.5% |
| Haywood..... | 37 | 0.50% | Washington.... | 84 | 0.05% | Haywood..... | 37 | 0.52% | 71.5% | Washington.... | 89 | 0.06% | 70.3% |
| Henderson..... | 24 | 0.78% | Watauga..... | 34 | 0.62% | Henderson..... | 24 | 0.86% | 79.7% | Watauga..... | 35 | 0.60% | 58.7% |
| Hertford..... | 67 | 0.20% | Wayne..... | 18 | 0.95% | Hertford..... | 70 | 0.16% | 35.2% | Wayne..... | 25 | 0.86% | 47.1% |
| Hoke..... | 82 | 0.06% | Wilkes..... | 39 | 0.49% | Hoke..... | 75 | 0.14% | 271.4% | Wilkes..... | 43 | 0.41% | 37.5% |
| Hyde..... | 87 | 0.05% | Wilson..... | 27 | 0.74% | Hyde..... | 95 | 0.05% | 43.6% | Wilson..... | 31 | 0.71% | 55.6% |
| Iredell..... | 13 | 1.27% | Yadkin..... | 70 | 0.16% | Iredell..... | 13 | 1.64% | 110.3% | Yadkin..... | 72 | 0.15% | 52.2% |
| Jackson..... | 55 | 0.28% | Yancey..... | 79 | 0.09% | Jackson..... | 53 | 0.33% | 96.5% | Yancey..... | 83 | 0.08% | 45.8% |
| | | | Unallocated..... | 1 | 17.10% | | | | | Unallocated..... | 3 | 5.88% | -43.9% |
| | | | Statewide totals | - | 100.00% | | | | | Statewide totals | - | 100.00% | 63.2% |

Detail may not add to totals due to rounding.

Computations and rankings exclude the following taxes: 8% highway use tax-motor vehicle leasing, certain use tax, and utility services. The ranking shown for the Unallocated category merely indicates its relative placement in comparison with the 100 counties and does not affect the county rankings.