

TABLE 38. A COUNTY COMPARISON OF STATE SALES AND USE TAX GROSS COLLECTIONS FOR 2000-2001 AND 2014-2015

[RANK, CONTRIBUTION PERCENTAGE, AND OVERALL GROWTH]

Fiscal year 2000-2001						Fiscal year 2014-2015							
County	Rank	% of total	County	Rank	% of total	County	Rank	% of total	% change 15/01	County	Rank	% of total	% change 15/01
Alamance.....	13	1.26%	Johnston.....	21	0.89%	Alamance.....	11	1.66%	131.2%	Johnston.....	18	1.20%	136.1%
Alexander.....	77	0.11%	Jones.....	97	0.03%	Alexander.....	76	0.13%	104.6%	Jones.....	99	0.02%	66.5%
Alleghany.....	84	0.05%	Lee.....	36	0.52%	Alleghany.....	91	0.05%	77.5%	Lee.....	37	0.52%	76.7%
Anson.....	78	0.09%	Lenoir.....	35	0.56%	Anson.....	79	0.10%	89.0%	Lenoir.....	43	0.40%	25.6%
Ashe.....	76	0.12%	Lincoln.....	45	0.40%	Ashe.....	70	0.17%	146.7%	Lincoln.....	40	0.49%	114.3%
Avery.....	69	0.16%	Macon.....	46	0.37%	Avery.....	68	0.18%	93.9%	Macon.....	49	0.35%	66.9%
Beaufort.....	48	0.35%	Madison.....	88	0.04%	Beaufort.....	48	0.36%	79.2%	Madison.....	84	0.07%	180.5%
Bertie.....	94	0.03%	Martin.....	68	0.19%	Bertie.....	85	0.07%	255.3%	Martin.....	72	0.16%	46.8%
Bladen.....	70	0.16%	McDowell.....	65	0.20%	Bladen.....	71	0.16%	75.9%	McDowell.....	61	0.25%	123.9%
Brunswick.....	28	0.72%	Mecklenburg....	1	13.00%	Brunswick.....	19	1.12%	171.9%	Mecklenburg....	1	15.21%	104.3%
Buncombe.....	6	2.78%	Mitchell.....	75	0.12%	Buncombe.....	6	3.58%	125.6%	Mitchell.....	78	0.11%	51.1%
Burke.....	37	0.48%	Montgomery....	73	0.14%	Burke.....	38	0.50%	82.3%	Montgomery....	77	0.13%	61.5%
Cabarrus.....	10	1.47%	Moore.....	26	0.72%	Cabarrus.....	9	2.36%	180.0%	Moore.....	22	0.89%	115.8%
Caldwell.....	42	0.43%	Nash.....	18	0.98%	Caldwell.....	41	0.47%	88.5%	Nash.....	29	0.77%	36.5%
Camden.....	98	0.02%	New Hanover....	7	2.56%	Camden.....	97	0.04%	251.0%	New Hanover....	7	3.12%	113.2%
Carteret.....	29	0.69%	Northampton...	91	0.04%	Carteret.....	26	0.81%	104.5%	Northampton...	86	0.06%	178.7%
Caswell.....	93	0.04%	Onslow.....	20	0.90%	Caswell.....	93	0.05%	124.2%	Onslow.....	14	1.56%	204.1%
Catawba.....	9	1.79%	Orange.....	17	0.99%	Catawba.....	13	1.63%	58.8%	Orange.....	17	1.23%	117.0%
Chatham.....	57	0.23%	Pamlico.....	89	0.04%	Chatham.....	44	0.40%	197.2%	Pamlico.....	87	0.06%	156.8%
Cherokee.....	58	0.23%	Pasquotank....	47	0.35%	Cherokee.....	67	0.20%	56.0%	Pasquotank....	53	0.34%	67.5%
Chowan.....	80	0.08%	Pender.....	72	0.15%	Chowan.....	82	0.09%	100.8%	Pender.....	55	0.30%	248.7%
Clay.....	90	0.04%	Perquimans....	96	0.03%	Clay.....	90	0.06%	130.2%	Perquimans....	95	0.04%	197.5%
Cleveland.....	33	0.66%	Person.....	59	0.22%	Cleveland.....	32	0.67%	76.6%	Person.....	64	0.23%	86.7%
Columbus.....	52	0.32%	Pitt.....	12	1.37%	Columbus.....	56	0.28%	53.7%	Pitt.....	10	1.68%	113.8%
Craven.....	31	0.67%	Polk.....	81	0.07%	Craven.....	30	0.76%	99.6%	Polk.....	80	0.10%	150.8%
Cumberland....	8	2.37%	Randolph.....	24	0.78%	Cumberland....	8	3.07%	126.4%	Randolph.....	27	0.78%	73.7%
Currituck.....	67	0.19%	Richmond.....	53	0.29%	Currituck.....	50	0.35%	221.0%	Richmond.....	58	0.28%	68.2%
Dare.....	16	1.00%	Robeson.....	32	0.66%	Dare.....	20	1.06%	86.4%	Robeson.....	28	0.77%	103.0%
Davidson.....	22	0.87%	Rockingham....	38	0.48%	Davidson.....	23	0.89%	77.0%	Rockingham....	39	0.50%	83.2%
Davie.....	66	0.20%	Rowan.....	23	0.85%	Davie.....	63	0.24%	112.3%	Rowan.....	21	0.92%	87.7%
Duplin.....	60	0.22%	Rutherford.....	44	0.41%	Duplin.....	57	0.28%	126.6%	Rutherford.....	46	0.38%	63.6%
Durham.....	5	3.95%	Sampson.....	51	0.32%	Durham.....	4	5.07%	124.2%	Sampson.....	52	0.34%	85.5%
Edgecombe.....	54	0.29%	Scotland.....	56	0.26%	Edgecombe.....	60	0.27%	61.8%	Scotland.....	66	0.21%	43.3%
Forsyth.....	4	3.99%	Stanly.....	41	0.46%	Forsyth.....	5	3.79%	65.8%	Stanly.....	45	0.39%	46.4%
Franklin.....	62	0.21%	Stokes.....	74	0.12%	Franklin.....	59	0.27%	120.8%	Stokes.....	74	0.15%	108.4%
Gaston.....	11	1.40%	Surry.....	30	0.67%	Gaston.....	15	1.49%	86.7%	Surry.....	33	0.65%	70.0%
Gates.....	99	0.01%	Swain.....	83	0.06%	Gates.....	98	0.03%	201.1%	Swain.....	81	0.09%	171.6%
Graham.....	95	0.03%	Transylvania....	61	0.21%	Graham.....	96	0.04%	147.3%	Transylvania....	65	0.23%	88.0%
Granville.....	64	0.20%	Tyrrell.....	100	0.01%	Granville.....	62	0.25%	119.9%	Tyrrell.....	100	0.02%	152.8%
Greene.....	92	0.04%	Union.....	15	1.01%	Greene.....	92	0.05%	125.3%	Union.....	16	1.34%	130.8%
Guilford.....	3	6.47%	Vance.....	50	0.35%	Guilford.....	3	5.36%	44.6%	Vance.....	54	0.32%	60.5%
Halifax.....	49	0.35%	Wake.....	2	9.87%	Halifax.....	47	0.37%	87.2%	Wake.....	2	11.05%	95.6%
Harnett.....	43	0.42%	Warren.....	86	0.05%	Harnett.....	35	0.59%	142.1%	Warren.....	89	0.06%	102.7%
Haywood.....	39	0.47%	Washington....	85	0.05%	Haywood.....	36	0.53%	95.6%	Washington....	88	0.06%	101.6%
Henderson.....	25	0.75%	Watauga.....	34	0.62%	Henderson.....	25	0.82%	91.0%	Watauga.....	34	0.60%	71.2%
Hertford.....	63	0.21%	Wayne.....	19	0.92%	Hertford.....	69	0.17%	41.3%	Wayne.....	24	0.87%	65.5%
Hoke.....	82	0.06%	Wilkes.....	40	0.47%	Hoke.....	75	0.14%	304.3%	Wilkes.....	42	0.43%	58.9%
Hyde.....	87	0.04%	Wilson.....	27	0.72%	Hyde.....	94	0.05%	82.3%	Wilson.....	31	0.69%	67.0%
Iredell.....	14	1.20%	Yadkin.....	71	0.16%	Iredell.....	12	1.65%	140.3%	Yadkin.....	73	0.15%	74.3%
Jackson.....	55	0.27%	Yancey.....	79	0.09%	Jackson.....	51	0.35%	124.5%	Yancey.....	83	0.09%	69.1%
			Unallocated.....	1	17.08%					Unallocated.....	3	6.29%	-35.7%
			Statewide totals	-	100.00%					Statewide totals	-	100.00%	74.6%

Detail may not add to totals due to rounding.

Computations and rankings exclude the following taxes: 8% highway use tax-motor vehicle leasing, certain use tax, and utility services. The ranking shown for the Unallocated category merely indicates its relative placement in comparison with the 100 counties and does not affect the county rankings.