

TABLE 38. A COUNTY COMPARISON OF STATE SALES AND USE TAX GROSS COLLECTIONS FOR 1996-1997 AND 2010-2011  
[ RANK, CONTRIBUTION PERCENTAGE, AND OVERALL GROWTH ]

Fiscal year 1996-1997						Fiscal year 2010-2011							
County	Rank	% of total	County	Rank	% of total	County	Rank	% of total	% change 11/97	County	Rank	% of total	% change 11/97
Alamance.....	12	1.42%	Johnston.....	22	0.90%	Alamance.....	14	1.56%	109.1%	Johnston.....	16	1.20%	154.0%
Alexander.....	76	0.14%	Jones.....	98	0.03%	Alexander.....	75	0.14%	95.1%	Jones.....	98	0.03%	121.0%
Alleghany.....	85	0.06%	Lee.....	36	0.59%	Alleghany.....	87	0.06%	94.8%	Lee.....	35	0.57%	83.9%
Anson.....	77	0.12%	Lenoir.....	34	0.70%	Anson.....	79	0.11%	69.2%	Lenoir.....	40	0.48%	29.9%
Ashe.....	74	0.15%	Lincoln.....	47	0.41%	Ashe.....	68	0.19%	140.3%	Lincoln.....	42	0.46%	114.5%
Avery.....	71	0.18%	Macon.....	53	0.35%	Avery.....	69	0.19%	99.8%	Macon.....	51	0.36%	96.6%
Beaufort.....	48	0.40%	Madison.....	89	0.05%	Beaufort.....	46	0.38%	80.4%	Madison.....	84	0.07%	155.1%
Bertie.....	90	0.05%	Martin.....	64	0.23%	Bertie.....	89	0.06%	147.2%	Martin.....	70	0.18%	48.0%
Bladen.....	70	0.18%	McDowell.....	59	0.25%	Bladen.....	72	0.17%	82.1%	McDowell.....	60	0.26%	93.1%
Brunswick.....	33	0.70%	Mecklenburg....	1	12.46%	Brunswick.....	20	1.03%	177.9%	Mecklenburg....	1	14.17%	116.4%
Buncombe.....	6	2.89%	Mitchell.....	78	0.12%	Buncombe.....	7	3.24%	113.0%	Mitchell.....	77	0.13%	106.7%
Burke.....	38	0.57%	Montgomery....	75	0.14%	Burke.....	39	0.51%	70.5%	Montgomery....	78	0.12%	66.4%
Cabarrus.....	14	1.16%	Moore.....	31	0.77%	Cabarrus.....	9	2.13%	250.9%	Moore.....	23	0.92%	129.0%
Caldwell.....	40	0.52%	Nash.....	15	1.14%	Caldwell.....	43	0.46%	68.0%	Nash.....	24	0.90%	50.1%
Camden.....	99	0.02%	New Hanover....	8	2.61%	Camden.....	92	0.05%	488.7%	New Hanover....	8	2.95%	114.4%
Carteret.....	27	0.79%	Northampton....	91	0.05%	Carteret.....	28	0.84%	102.6%	Northampton....	88	0.06%	153.3%
Caswell.....	92	0.05%	Onslow.....	17	1.07%	Caswell.....	95	0.05%	110.3%	Onslow.....	12	1.69%	201.5%
Catawba.....	9	1.91%	Orange.....	20	0.94%	Catawba.....	11	1.77%	76.6%	Orange.....	19	1.06%	113.3%
Chatham.....	61	0.25%	Pamlico.....	87	0.06%	Chatham.....	49	0.38%	185.0%	Pamlico.....	86	0.07%	129.1%
Cherokee.....	58	0.25%	Pasquotank.....	50	0.39%	Cherokee.....	66	0.22%	66.6%	Pasquotank.....	48	0.38%	85.2%
Chowan.....	80	0.10%	Pender.....	68	0.18%	Chowan.....	81	0.10%	89.9%	Pender.....	59	0.27%	187.8%
Clay.....	93	0.04%	Perquimans.....	95	0.04%	Clay.....	91	0.06%	144.7%	Perquimans.....	97	0.04%	99.9%
Cleveland.....	24	0.83%	Person.....	62	0.25%	Cleveland.....	33	0.67%	53.4%	Person.....	61	0.25%	92.9%
Columbus.....	46	0.41%	Pitt.....	11	1.48%	Columbus.....	55	0.32%	47.2%	Pitt.....	10	1.81%	132.8%
Craven.....	28	0.78%	Polk.....	81	0.08%	Craven.....	27	0.84%	106.5%	Polk.....	83	0.08%	93.7%
Cumberland....	7	2.88%	Randolph.....	25	0.82%	Cumberland....	6	3.50%	131.1%	Randolph.....	26	0.87%	100.5%
Currituck....	73	0.15%	Richmond.....	54	0.35%	Currituck....	53	0.34%	337.2%	Richmond.....	57	0.30%	65.3%
Dare.....	23	0.85%	Robeson.....	26	0.79%	Dare.....	18	1.14%	154.5%	Robeson.....	30	0.81%	93.8%
Davidson.....	19	0.96%	Rockingham....	35	0.60%	Davidson.....	25	0.87%	71.7%	Rockingham....	36	0.57%	81.4%
Davie.....	67	0.18%	Rowan.....	21	0.94%	Davie.....	67	0.22%	124.7%	Rowan.....	21	0.95%	92.7%
Duplin.....	56	0.29%	Rutherford.....	44	0.47%	Duplin.....	58	0.30%	95.6%	Rutherford.....	41	0.47%	91.3%
Durham.....	5	3.55%	Sampson.....	51	0.37%	Durham.....	4	4.31%	131.1%	Sampson.....	50	0.36%	89.1%
Edgecombe....	52	0.35%	Scotland.....	55	0.35%	Edgecombe....	56	0.31%	66.2%	Scotland.....	64	0.24%	33.7%
Forsyth.....	4	4.45%	Stanly.....	42	0.52%	Forsyth.....	5	4.06%	73.8%	Stanly.....	45	0.43%	58.7%
Franklin.....	66	0.20%	Stokes.....	72	0.16%	Franklin.....	62	0.25%	142.3%	Stokes.....	74	0.15%	90.1%
Gaston.....	10	1.64%	Surry.....	32	0.74%	Gaston.....	15	1.53%	77.9%	Surry.....	32	0.72%	85.6%
Gates.....	97	0.03%	Swain.....	84	0.07%	Gates.....	99	0.02%	66.7%	Swain.....	82	0.09%	155.3%
Graham.....	94	0.04%	Transylvania....	63	0.24%	Graham.....	96	0.04%	109.8%	Transylvania....	65	0.24%	87.1%
Granville.....	60	0.25%	Tyrrell.....	100	0.02%	Granville.....	63	0.24%	83.7%	Tyrrell.....	100	0.01%	84.6%
Greene.....	86	0.06%	Union.....	18	0.99%	Greene.....	94	0.05%	63.7%	Union.....	17	1.16%	124.1%
Guilford.....	3	6.51%	Vance.....	49	0.39%	Guilford.....	3	5.54%	61.7%	Vance.....	52	0.35%	71.9%
Halifax.....	45	0.45%	Wake.....	2	9.56%	Halifax.....	47	0.38%	60.4%	Wake.....	2	10.57%	110.5%
Harnett.....	41	0.52%	Warren.....	88	0.06%	Harnett.....	37	0.55%	101.7%	Warren.....	90	0.06%	106.2%
Haywood.....	39	0.54%	Washington....	83	0.07%	Haywood.....	38	0.54%	89.5%	Washington....	85	0.07%	85.5%
Henderson.....	30	0.77%	Watauga.....	37	0.59%	Henderson.....	29	0.82%	102.5%	Watauga.....	34	0.63%	105.5%
Hertford.....	65	0.23%	Wayne.....	16	1.09%	Hertford.....	71	0.18%	53.3%	Wayne.....	22	0.93%	62.1%
Hoke.....	82	0.08%	Wilkes.....	43	0.49%	Hoke.....	76	0.14%	236.5%	Wilkes.....	44	0.45%	72.5%
Hyde.....	96	0.04%	Wilson.....	29	0.77%	Hyde.....	93	0.05%	165.4%	Wilson.....	31	0.77%	88.7%
Iredell.....	13	1.20%	Yadkin.....	69	0.18%	Iredell.....	13	1.60%	154.2%	Yadkin.....	73	0.17%	76.8%
Jackson.....	57	0.27%	Yancey.....	79	0.11%	Jackson.....	54	0.33%	131.1%	Yancey.....	80	0.10%	79.8%
			Unallocated.....	1	13.54%					Unallocated.....	3	7.12%	0.0%
			Statewide totals	-	100.00%					Statewide totals	-	100.00%	90.2%

Computations and rankings exclude the following taxes: 8% highway use tax-motor vehicle leasing, certain use tax, and utility services. The ranking shown for the Unallocated category merely indicates its relative placement in comparison with the 100 counties and does not affect the county rankings.