

TABLE 38. A COUNTY COMPARISON OF STATE SALES AND USE TAX GROSS COLLECTIONS FOR 1994-1995 AND 2008-2009  
[ RANK, CONTRIBUTION PERCENTAGE, AND OVERALL GROWTH ]

Fiscal year 1994-1995						Fiscal year 2008-2009							
County	Rank	% of total	County	Rank	% of total	County	Rank	% of total	% change 09/95	County	Rank	% of total	% change 09/95
Alamance.....	12	1.41%	Johnston.....	23	0.89%	Alamance.....	12	1.47%	73.4%	Johnston.....	18	1.05%	97.1%
Alexander.....	75	0.14%	Jones.....	96	0.04%	Alexander.....	78	0.11%	23.4%	Jones.....	98	0.02%	2.6%
Alleghany.....	85	0.07%	Lee.....	36	0.62%	Alleghany.....	85	0.06%	45.7%	Lee.....	35	0.51%	38.6%
Anson.....	77	0.13%	Lenoir.....	33	0.77%	Anson.....	79	0.10%	29.2%	Lenoir.....	39	0.47%	1.7%
Ashe.....	74	0.15%	Lincoln.....	48	0.41%	Ashe.....	69	0.17%	82.0%	Lincoln.....	42	0.44%	81.8%
Avery.....	70	0.18%	Macon.....	54	0.36%	Avery.....	72	0.16%	47.0%	Macon.....	46	0.38%	74.7%
Beaufort.....	47	0.43%	Madison.....	86	0.06%	Beaufort.....	48	0.36%	40.1%	Madison.....	86	0.06%	52.6%
Bertie.....	89	0.06%	Martin.....	59	0.25%	Bertie.....	93	0.04%	7.5%	Martin.....	68	0.17%	10.4%
Bladen.....	67	0.19%	McDowell.....	57	0.27%	Bladen.....	75	0.12%	4.0%	McDowell.....	63	0.24%	49.9%
Brunswick.....	34	0.68%	Mecklenburg....	1	11.67%	Brunswick.....	21	0.93%	128.1%	Mecklenburg....	1	12.75%	81.9%
Buncombe.....	7	3.03%	Mitchell.....	78	0.12%	Buncombe.....	6	3.07%	68.9%	Mitchell.....	74	0.12%	69.6%
Burke.....	37	0.60%	Montgomery....	73	0.16%	Burke.....	43	0.43%	20.5%	Montgomery....	77	0.11%	13.4%
Cabarrus.....	15	1.16%	Moore.....	31	0.79%	Cabarrus.....	9	1.87%	168.1%	Moore.....	27	0.76%	59.9%
Caldwell.....	41	0.55%	Nash.....	14	1.16%	Caldwell.....	41	0.45%	34.6%	Nash.....	23	0.84%	19.8%
Camden.....	99	0.02%	New Hanover....	8	2.55%	Camden.....	96	0.03%	230.7%	New Hanover....	8	2.63%	71.9%
Carteret.....	28	0.80%	Northampton....	91	0.05%	Carteret.....	25	0.81%	69.9%	Northampton....	95	0.04%	20.1%
Caswell.....	92	0.05%	Onslow.....	19	1.00%	Caswell.....	97	0.03%	6.0%	Onslow.....	15	1.33%	121.4%
Catawba.....	9	1.98%	Orange.....	17	1.07%	Catawba.....	10	1.69%	42.1%	Orange.....	20	0.95%	48.1%
Chatham.....	60	0.25%	Pamlico.....	90	0.06%	Chatham.....	54	0.29%	93.0%	Pamlico.....	84	0.06%	95.0%
Cherokee.....	62	0.25%	Pasquotank.....	49	0.40%	Cherokee.....	62	0.25%	67.4%	Pasquotank.....	47	0.37%	55.3%
Chowan.....	79	0.12%	Pender.....	71	0.18%	Chowan.....	81	0.07%	2.1%	Pender.....	65	0.22%	109.4%
Clay.....	94	0.04%	Perquimans.....	95	0.04%	Clay.....	89	0.05%	85.0%	Perquimans.....	94	0.04%	52.8%
Cleveland.....	22	0.90%	Person.....	58	0.26%	Cleveland.....	32	0.67%	23.6%	Person.....	58	0.26%	66.5%
Columbus.....	46	0.44%	Pitt.....	11	1.45%	Columbus.....	52	0.31%	19.5%	Pitt.....	14	1.43%	64.8%
Craven.....	29	0.79%	Polk.....	82	0.09%	Craven.....	24	0.83%	73.5%	Polk.....	83	0.07%	28.9%
Cumberland....	6	3.05%	Randolph.....	26	0.85%	Cumberland....	7	2.90%	58.6%	Randolph.....	28	0.76%	48.7%
Currituck.....	76	0.14%	Richmond.....	51	0.38%	Currituck.....	64	0.23%	169.4%	Richmond.....	56	0.27%	17.0%
Dare.....	24	0.88%	Robeson.....	25	0.85%	Dare.....	17	1.18%	124.3%	Robeson.....	31	0.72%	41.9%
Davidson.....	18	1.04%	Rockingham....	35	0.67%	Davidson.....	22	0.88%	40.0%	Rockingham....	37	0.50%	25.0%
Davie.....	68	0.18%	Rowan.....	20	0.99%	Davie.....	67	0.21%	91.5%	Rowan.....	29	0.75%	26.6%
Duplin.....	56	0.28%	Rutherford.....	43	0.52%	Duplin.....	61	0.25%	49.2%	Rutherford.....	45	0.39%	24.7%
Durham.....	5	3.53%	Sampson.....	53	0.37%	Durham.....	5	3.72%	75.3%	Sampson.....	55	0.28%	29.6%
Edgecombe.....	52	0.37%	Scotland.....	55	0.35%	Edgecombe.....	53	0.30%	34.1%	Scotland.....	59	0.25%	19.3%
Forsyth.....	4	4.73%	Stanly.....	42	0.53%	Forsyth.....	4	3.92%	38.0%	Stanly.....	40	0.45%	43.7%
Franklin.....	66	0.19%	Stokes.....	72	0.17%	Franklin.....	57	0.26%	129.0%	Stokes.....	73	0.13%	25.6%
Gaston.....	10	1.81%	Surry.....	32	0.78%	Gaston.....	13	1.44%	32.2%	Surry.....	33	0.65%	38.2%
Gates.....	98	0.03%	Swain.....	84	0.07%	Gates.....	99	0.02%	-4.7%	Swain.....	80	0.08%	74.4%
Graham.....	93	0.05%	Transylvania....	61	0.25%	Graham.....	91	0.04%	39.9%	Transylvania....	60	0.25%	67.2%
Granville.....	64	0.24%	Tyrrell.....	100	0.02%	Granville.....	66	0.21%	45.2%	Tyrrell.....	100	0.01%	22.2%
Greene.....	88	0.06%	Union.....	21	0.93%	Greene.....	92	0.04%	8.3%	Union.....	16	1.19%	114.9%
Guilford.....	3	7.00%	Vance.....	50	0.40%	Guilford.....	3	5.73%	36.3%	Vance.....	50	0.32%	31.6%
Halifax.....	45	0.48%	Wake.....	2	9.38%	Halifax.....	49	0.35%	21.4%	Wake.....	2	10.63%	88.9%
Harnett.....	44	0.51%	Warren.....	87	0.06%	Harnett.....	38	0.48%	55.7%	Warren.....	88	0.05%	43.4%
Haywood.....	40	0.56%	Washington....	83	0.08%	Haywood.....	36	0.50%	48.7%	Washington....	87	0.06%	8.7%
Henderson.....	30	0.79%	Watauga.....	38	0.59%	Henderson.....	26	0.80%	68.0%	Watauga.....	34	0.63%	78.3%
Hertford.....	63	0.25%	Wayne.....	16	1.14%	Hertford.....	71	0.16%	9.6%	Wayne.....	19	0.97%	42.0%
Hoke.....	81	0.09%	Wilkes.....	39	0.57%	Hoke.....	82	0.07%	34.9%	Wilkes.....	44	0.39%	14.2%
Hyde.....	97	0.04%	Wilson.....	27	0.80%	Hyde.....	90	0.04%	92.8%	Wilson.....	30	0.73%	51.8%
Iredell.....	13	1.20%	Yadkin.....	69	0.18%	Iredell.....	11	1.56%	115.9%	Yadkin.....	70	0.17%	51.7%
Jackson.....	65	0.24%	Yancey.....	80	0.11%	Jackson.....	51	0.32%	121.6%	Yancey.....	76	0.11%	65.0%
			Unallocated.....	1	12.12%					Unallocated.....	1	14.01%	92.6%
			Statewide totals	-	100.00%					Statewide totals	-	100.00%	66.6%

Computations and rankings exclude the following taxes: 8% highway use tax-motor vehicle leasing, certain use tax, and utility services. The ranking shown for the Unallocated category merely indicates its relative placement in comparison with the 100 counties and does not affect the county rankings.