

TABLE 38. A COUNTY COMPARISON OF STATE SALES AND USE TAX GROSS COLLECTIONS FOR 2001-2002 AND 2015-2016

[RANK, CONTRIBUTION PERCENTAGE, AND OVERALL GROWTH]

Fiscal year 2001-2002						Fiscal year 2015-2016							
County	Rank	% of total	County	Rank	% of total	County	Rank	% of total	% change 16/02	County	Rank	% of total	% change 16/02
Alamance.....	13	1.24%	Johnston.....	21	0.88%	Alamance.....	10	1.71%	143.13%	Johnston.....	18	1.20%	140.17%
Alexander.....	77	0.11%	Jones.....	96	0.03%	Alexander.....	76	0.13%	107.51%	Jones.....	99	0.02%	51.31%
Alleghany.....	85	0.05%	Lee.....	36	0.50%	Alleghany.....	91	0.05%	84.55%	Lee.....	36	0.54%	91.08%
Anson.....	78	0.10%	Lenoir.....	35	0.56%	Anson.....	78	0.11%	88.04%	Lenoir.....	46	0.39%	23.31%
Ashe.....	73	0.14%	Lincoln.....	44	0.39%	Ashe.....	68	0.18%	128.59%	Lincoln.....	39	0.49%	122.43%
Avery.....	69	0.16%	Macon.....	46	0.37%	Avery.....	69	0.18%	97.21%	Macon.....	50	0.35%	66.85%
Beaufort.....	49	0.34%	Madison.....	89	0.05%	Beaufort.....	52	0.34%	75.88%	Madison.....	84	0.07%	180.89%
Bertie.....	95	0.03%	Martin.....	68	0.18%	Bertie.....	86	0.06%	253.04%	Martin.....	74	0.15%	48.27%
Bladen.....	72	0.15%	McDowell.....	64	0.20%	Bladen.....	73	0.15%	81.38%	McDowell.....	61	0.25%	120.64%
Brunswick.....	26	0.74%	Mecklenburg....	1	12.38%	Brunswick.....	19	1.13%	170.05%	Mecklenburg....	1	15.33%	118.09%
Buncombe.....	6	2.81%	Mitchell.....	76	0.12%	Buncombe.....	6	3.67%	130.08%	Mitchell.....	79	0.10%	44.42%
Burke.....	39	0.46%	Montgomery....	74	0.14%	Burke.....	38	0.51%	93.93%	Montgomery....	77	0.13%	63.32%
Cabarrus.....	10	1.51%	Moore.....	27	0.71%	Cabarrus.....	9	2.42%	182.32%	Moore.....	23	0.89%	120.17%
Caldwell.....	42	0.44%	Nash.....	19	0.92%	Caldwell.....	41	0.45%	80.60%	Nash.....	29	0.77%	48.46%
Camden.....	98	0.02%	New Hanover....	7	2.57%	Camden.....	97	0.04%	255.58%	New Hanover....	7	3.15%	115.59%
Carteret.....	28	0.71%	Northampton...	91	0.04%	Carteret.....	27	0.81%	101.87%	Northampton...	85	0.07%	228.27%
Caswell.....	94	0.03%	Onslow.....	18	0.93%	Caswell.....	95	0.05%	141.44%	Onslow.....	15	1.47%	179.06%
Catawba.....	9	1.75%	Orange.....	16	0.97%	Catawba.....	12	1.65%	65.55%	Orange.....	17	1.22%	122.33%
Chatham.....	57	0.24%	Pamlico.....	90	0.04%	Chatham.....	42	0.42%	209.60%	Pamlico.....	87	0.06%	156.67%
Cherokee.....	58	0.24%	Pasquotank....	48	0.35%	Cherokee.....	67	0.20%	49.62%	Pasquotank....	49	0.35%	79.65%
Chowan.....	80	0.07%	Pender.....	71	0.15%	Chowan.....	82	0.09%	118.16%	Pender.....	55	0.32%	269.39%
Clay.....	87	0.05%	Perquimans....	97	0.03%	Clay.....	90	0.05%	99.61%	Perquimans....	93	0.05%	218.38%
Cleveland.....	32	0.65%	Person.....	59	0.23%	Cleveland.....	33	0.64%	73.05%	Person.....	65	0.23%	80.47%
Columbus.....	52	0.31%	Pitt.....	12	1.33%	Columbus.....	59	0.28%	59.61%	Pitt.....	11	1.67%	121.35%
Craven.....	30	0.67%	Polk.....	81	0.07%	Craven.....	30	0.77%	103.58%	Polk.....	81	0.10%	147.90%
Cumberland....	8	2.41%	Randolph.....	25	0.75%	Cumberland....	8	3.01%	120.13%	Randolph.....	26	0.81%	90.86%
Currituck.....	65	0.19%	Richmond.....	53	0.28%	Currituck.....	51	0.34%	214.77%	Richmond.....	57	0.28%	76.42%
Dare.....	15	1.09%	Robeson.....	31	0.66%	Dare.....	20	1.05%	69.66%	Robeson.....	28	0.78%	107.13%
Davidson.....	23	0.84%	Rockingham....	38	0.47%	Davidson.....	22	0.91%	91.46%	Rockingham....	40	0.49%	84.15%
Davie.....	67	0.18%	Rowan.....	22	0.84%	Davie.....	64	0.23%	130.76%	Rowan.....	21	0.91%	91.35%
Duplin.....	61	0.21%	Rutherford.....	45	0.39%	Duplin.....	56	0.28%	136.63%	Rutherford..	44	0.41%	84.32%
Durham.....	5	3.89%	Sampson.....	51	0.32%	Durham.....	4	5.04%	128.36%	Sampson.....	48	0.36%	99.15%
Edgecombe.....	55	0.27%	Scotland.....	56	0.25%	Edgecombe.....	60	0.26%	68.43%	Scotland.....	66	0.21%	49.48%
Forsyth.....	4	4.00%	Stanly.....	40	0.46%	Forsyth.....	5	3.84%	69.19%	Stanly.....	45	0.40%	55.59%
Franklin.....	60	0.22%	Stokes.....	75	0.13%	Franklin.....	58	0.28%	125.55%	Stokes.....	71	0.16%	121.95%
Gaston.....	11	1.43%	Surry.....	33	0.62%	Gaston.....	14	1.52%	86.55%	Surry.....	32	0.65%	85.61%
Gates.....	99	0.01%	Swain.....	83	0.06%	Gates.....	98	0.03%	219.54%	Swain.....	80	0.10%	194.06%
Graham.....	93	0.03%	Transylvania....	62	0.21%	Graham.....	96	0.04%	126.12%	Transylvania....	63	0.23%	97.15%
Granville.....	63	0.20%	Tyrrell.....	100	0.01%	Granville.....	62	0.25%	116.90%	Tyrrell.....	100	0.02%	142.58%
Greene.....	92	0.04%	Union.....	17	0.96%	Greene.....	92	0.05%	149.35%	Union.....	16	1.40%	157.48%
Guilford.....	3	5.99%	Vance.....	47	0.35%	Guilford.....	3	5.23%	53.90%	Vance.....	54	0.32%	64.77%
Halifax.....	50	0.34%	Wake.....	2	9.29%	Halifax.....	47	0.36%	88.53%	Wake.....	2	11.18%	111.96%
Harnett.....	43	0.42%	Warren.....	86	0.05%	Harnett.....	34	0.61%	156.01%	Warren.....	89	0.06%	104.58%
Haywood.....	37	0.49%	Washington	84	0.05%	Haywood.....	37	0.52%	89.58%	Washington....	88	0.06%	91.84%
Henderson.....	24	0.76%	Watauga.....	34	0.61%	Henderson.....	24	0.86%	99.15%	Watauga.....	35	0.60%	74.77%
Hertford.....	66	0.19%	Wayne.....	20	0.92%	Hertford.....	70	0.16%	54.01%	Wayne.....	25	0.86%	65.11%
Hoke.....	82	0.06%	Wilkes.....	41	0.46%	Hoke.....	75	0.14%	306.54%	Wilkes.....	43	0.41%	59.84%
Hyde.....	88	0.05%	Wilson.....	29	0.70%	Hyde.....	94	0.05%	73.56%	Wilson.....	31	0.71%	79.83%
Iredell.....	14	1.23%	Yadkin.....	70	0.16%	Iredell.....	13	1.64%	134.91%	Yadkin.....	72	0.15%	73.29%
Jackson.....	54	0.27%	Yancey.....	79	0.09%	Jackson.....	53	0.33%	114.29%	Yancey.....	83	0.08%	63.59%
			Unallocated.....	1	19.01%					Unallocated.....	3	5.86%	-45.70%
			Statewide totals	-	100.00%					Statewide totals	-	100.00%	76.22%

Detail may not add to totals due to rounding.

Computations and rankings exclude the following taxes: 8% highway use tax-motor vehicle leasing, certain use tax, and utility services. The ranking shown for the Unallocated category merely indicates its relative placement in comparison with the 100 counties and does not affect the county rankings.