

TABLE 38. A COUNTY COMPARISON OF STATE SALES AND USE TAX GROSS COLLECTIONS FOR 1991-1992 AND 2005-2006

[ RANK, CONTRIBUTION PERCENTAGE, AND OVERALL GROWTH ]

Fiscal year 1991-1992						Fiscal year 2005-2006							
County	Rank	% of total	County	Rank	% of total	County	Rank	% of total	% change 06/92	County	Rank	% of total	% change 06/92
Alamance.....	11	1.46%	Johnston.....	23	0.89%	Alamance.....	14	1.18%	87.0%	Johnston.....	18	1.02%	164.8%
Alexander.....	73	0.16%	Jones.....	92	0.05%	Alexander.....	76	0.11%	53.6%	Jones.....	98	0.02%	13.3%
Alleghany.....	85	0.07%	Lee.....	36	0.63%	Alleghany.....	82	0.06%	97.2%	Lee.....	35	0.50%	84.4%
Anson.....	74	0.15%	Lenoir.....	31	0.80%	Anson.....	79	0.09%	43.5%	Lenoir.....	36	0.48%	37.5%
Ashe.....	69	0.18%	Lincoln.....	50	0.43%	Ashe.....	70	0.14%	83.5%	Lincoln.....	42	0.40%	111.4%
Avery.....	71	0.17%	Macon.....	53	0.37%	Avery.....	69	0.15%	105.0%	Macon.....	45	0.38%	141.2%
Beaufort.....	49	0.44%	Madison.....	85	0.07%	Beaufort.....	48	0.33%	73.7%	Madison.....	86	0.05%	57.5%
Bertie.....	85	0.07%	Martin.....	58	0.29%	Bertie.....	86	0.05%	62.9%	Martin.....	74	0.12%	-2.6%
Bladen.....	67	0.20%	McDowell.....	58	0.29%	Bladen.....	72	0.13%	48.0%	McDowell.....	65	0.21%	69.1%
Brunswick.....	35	0.64%	Mecklenburg....	1	11.39%	Brunswick.....	22	0.83%	204.5%	Mecklenburg....	1	12.93%	163.3%
Buncombe.....	7	3.07%	Mitchell.....	74	0.15%	Buncombe.....	6	2.89%	118.1%	Mitchell.....	76	0.11%	71.1%
Burke.....	37	0.62%	Montgomery....	74	0.15%	Burke.....	41	0.41%	53.3%	Montgomery....	74	0.12%	78.5%
Cabarrus.....	16	1.15%	Moore.....	33	0.77%	Cabarrus.....	9	1.66%	234.4%	Moore.....	26	0.74%	122.3%
Caldwell.....	40	0.60%	Nash.....	13	1.18%	Caldwell.....	43	0.39%	49.6%	Nash.....	23	0.82%	61.4%
Camden.....	99	0.02%	New Hanover....	8	2.56%	Camden.....	90	0.04%	432.8%	New Hanover....	7	2.75%	149.0%
Carteret.....	32	0.78%	Northampton....	89	0.06%	Carteret.....	24	0.77%	128.8%	Northampton....	90	0.04%	50.5%
Caswell.....	92	0.05%	Onslow.....	20	1.05%	Caswell.....	96	0.03%	38.5%	Onslow.....	16	1.11%	143.2%
Catawba.....	9	1.94%	Orange.....	19	1.08%	Catawba.....	10	1.63%	94.7%	Orange.....	20	0.90%	91.7%
Chatham.....	58	0.26%	Pamlico.....	89	0.06%	Chatham.....	60	0.23%	104.6%	Pamlico.....	86	0.05%	101.4%
Cherokee.....	64	0.23%	Pasquotank.....	47	0.47%	Cherokee.....	55	0.26%	156.1%	Pasquotank.....	46	0.37%	83.8%
Chowan.....	79	0.12%	Pender.....	74	0.15%	Chowan.....	81	0.07%	41.5%	Pender.....	63	0.22%	242.1%
Clay.....	95	0.04%	Perquimans.....	95	0.04%	Clay.....	82	0.06%	193.1%	Perquimans.....	96	0.03%	125.2%
Cleveland.....	21	0.93%	Person.....	63	0.25%	Cleveland.....	33	0.60%	48.3%	Person.....	63	0.22%	106.7%
Columbus.....	46	0.50%	Pitt.....	12	1.42%	Columbus.....	51	0.30%	38.0%	Pitt.....	12	1.33%	116.3%
Craven.....	25	0.87%	Polk.....	83	0.08%	Craven.....	27	0.73%	94.1%	Polk.....	82	0.06%	78.3%
Cumberland....	6	3.20%	Randolph.....	22	0.90%	Cumberland....	8	2.45%	78.1%	Randolph.....	29	0.67%	71.5%
Currituck.....	80	0.10%	Richmond.....	51	0.42%	Currituck.....	60	0.23%	421.0%	Richmond.....	57	0.24%	32.5%
Dare.....	30	0.81%	Robeson.....	25	0.87%	Dare.....	15	1.13%	224.2%	Robeson.....	30	0.63%	67.0%
Davidson.....	18	1.11%	Rockingham....	34	0.76%	Davidson.....	21	0.84%	75.4%	Rockingham....	39	0.43%	30.7%
Davie.....	66	0.22%	Rowan.....	13	1.18%	Davie.....	67	0.18%	86.8%	Rowan.....	28	0.71%	40.0%
Duplin.....	57	0.31%	Rutherford.....	41	0.59%	Duplin.....	60	0.23%	69.4%	Rutherford.....	47	0.36%	40.5%
Durham.....	5	3.48%	Sampson.....	53	0.37%	Durham.....	5	3.61%	140.7%	Sampson.....	50	0.31%	94.4%
Edgecombe.....	47	0.47%	Scotland.....	55	0.35%	Edgecombe.....	56	0.25%	20.4%	Scotland.....	57	0.24%	56.2%
Forsyth.....	4	4.96%	Stanly.....	44	0.54%	Forsyth.....	4	3.92%	82.9%	Stanly.....	40	0.42%	81.5%
Franklin.....	71	0.17%	Stokes.....	69	0.18%	Franklin.....	53	0.28%	289.1%	Stokes.....	70	0.14%	86.6%
Gaston.....	10	1.90%	Surry.....	29	0.83%	Gaston.....	13	1.30%	58.3%	Surry.....	33	0.60%	68.8%
Gates.....	98	0.03%	Swain.....	83	0.08%	Gates.....	99	0.01%	19.9%	Swain.....	82	0.06%	76.0%
Graham.....	92	0.05%	Transylvania....	64	0.23%	Graham.....	90	0.04%	67.7%	Transylvania....	57	0.24%	134.2%
Granville.....	58	0.26%	Tyrrell.....	99	0.02%	Granville.....	65	0.21%	84.8%	Tyrrell.....	99	0.01%	59.6%
Greene.....	89	0.06%	Union.....	25	0.87%	Greene.....	90	0.04%	35.0%	Union.....	17	1.05%	180.1%
Guilford.....	3	7.01%	Vance.....	51	0.42%	Guilford.....	3	5.44%	80.1%	Vance.....	51	0.30%	65.4%
Halifax.....	43	0.57%	Wake.....	2	8.67%	Halifax.....	49	0.32%	29.2%	Wake.....	2	9.99%	167.1%
Harnett.....	45	0.52%	Warren.....	85	0.07%	Harnett.....	38	0.45%	99.1%	Warren.....	90	0.04%	33.6%
Haywood.....	37	0.62%	Washington....	80	0.10%	Haywood.....	36	0.48%	79.3%	Washington....	86	0.05%	9.3%
Henderson.....	25	0.87%	Watauga.....	39	0.61%	Henderson.....	24	0.77%	105.2%	Watauga.....	32	0.61%	130.4%
Hertford.....	58	0.26%	Wayne.....	17	1.14%	Hertford.....	67	0.18%	58.1%	Wayne.....	19	0.91%	83.7%
Hoke.....	82	0.09%	Wilkes.....	41	0.59%	Hoke.....	80	0.08%	98.5%	Wilkes.....	43	0.39%	55.0%
Hyde.....	95	0.04%	Wilson.....	24	0.88%	Hyde.....	90	0.04%	121.6%	Wilson.....	31	0.62%	62.7%
Iredell.....	13	1.18%	Yadkin.....	67	0.20%	Iredell.....	11	1.54%	202.8%	Yadkin.....	72	0.13%	56.2%
Jackson.....	56	0.34%	Yancey.....	78	0.13%	Jackson.....	54	0.27%	82.7%	Yancey.....	78	0.10%	90.5%
			Unallocated.....	2	10.94%					Unallocated.....	1	18.37%	289.2%
			Statewide totals	-	100.00%					Statewide totals	-	100.00%	131.8%

Detail may not add to totals due to rounding.

Computations and rankings exclude the following taxes: 8% highway use tax-motor vehicle leasing, certain use tax, and utility services. The ranking shown for the Unallocated category merely indicates its relative placement in comparison with the 100 counties and does not affect the county rankings.