

TABLE 38. A COUNTY COMPARISON OF STATE SALES AND USE TAX GROSS COLLECTIONS FOR 1990-91 AND 2004-05

[ RANK, CONTRIBUTION PERCENTAGE, AND OVERALL GROWTH ]

Fiscal year 1990-91						Fiscal year 2004-05							
County	Rank	% of total	County	Rank	% of total	County	Rank	% of total	% change 05/91	County	Rank	% of total	% change 05/91
Alamance.....	11	1.49%	Johnston.....	29	0.83%	Alamance.....	15	1.19%	123.4%	Johnston.....	17	1.04%	250.2%
Alexander.....	73	0.16%	Jones.....	94	0.05%	Alexander.....	77	0.12%	100.5%	Jones.....	97	0.03%	58.2%
Alleghany.....	86	0.08%	Lee.....	37	0.61%	Alleghany.....	83	0.06%	113.6%	Lee.....	35	0.52%	140.2%
Anson.....	76	0.16%	Lenoir.....	30	0.82%	Anson.....	78	0.09%	68.2%	Lenoir.....	36	0.49%	66.2%
Ashe.....	70	0.18%	Lincoln.....	51	0.43%	Ashe.....	70	0.15%	130.8%	Lincoln.....	44	0.40%	158.3%
Avery.....	72	0.17%	Macon.....	54	0.35%	Avery.....	69	0.15%	147.9%	Macon.....	45	0.39%	210.6%
Beaufort.....	47	0.47%	Madison.....	88	0.07%	Beaufort.....	48	0.36%	116.1%	Madison.....	88	0.04%	84.3%
Bertie.....	87	0.07%	Martin.....	57	0.31%	Bertie.....	92	0.04%	46.3%	Martin.....	75	0.13%	13.4%
Bladen.....	67	0.20%	McDowell.....	60	0.27%	Bladen.....	72	0.14%	94.3%	McDowell.....	64	0.21%	116.5%
Brunswick.....	36	0.63%	Mecklenburg....	1	11.79%	Brunswick.....	24	0.82%	262.4%	Mecklenburg....	1	12.57%	197.2%
Buncombe.....	6	3.00%	Mitchell.....	74	0.16%	Buncombe.....	6	2.90%	169.4%	Mitchell.....	76	0.12%	113.0%
Burke.....	35	0.63%	Montgomery....	75	0.16%	Burke.....	41	0.43%	91.3%	Montgomery....	74	0.13%	141.8%
Cabarrus.....	17	1.15%	Moore.....	34	0.76%	Cabarrus.....	9	1.68%	307.8%	Moore.....	27	0.74%	171.3%
Caldwell.....	40	0.60%	Nash.....	13	1.19%	Caldwell.....	43	0.41%	89.8%	Nash.....	22	0.84%	96.6%
Camden.....	100	0.02%	New Hanover....	8	2.58%	Camden.....	98	0.03%	284.5%	New Hanover....	7	2.70%	191.6%
Carteret.....	33	0.78%	Northampton...	91	0.06%	Carteret.....	25	0.77%	176.3%	Northampton...	96	0.03%	53.4%
Caswell.....	92	0.05%	Onslow.....	20	1.03%	Caswell.....	94	0.03%	84.9%	Onslow.....	16	1.15%	211.7%
Catawba.....	9	1.98%	Orange.....	19	1.06%	Catawba.....	10	1.68%	136.2%	Orange.....	20	0.91%	137.8%
Chatham.....	61	0.26%	Pamlico.....	90	0.06%	Chatham.....	59	0.25%	161.4%	Pamlico.....	87	0.05%	108.6%
Cherokee.....	65	0.23%	Pasquotank.....	49	0.46%	Cherokee.....	58	0.25%	208.4%	Pasquotank.....	47	0.37%	122.1%
Chowan.....	78	0.13%	Pender.....	77	0.14%	Chowan.....	80	0.07%	61.5%	Pender.....	67	0.19%	274.1%
Clay.....	96	0.04%	Perquimans.....	97	0.04%	Clay.....	85	0.06%	300.2%	Perquimans.....	95	0.03%	148.2%
Cleveland.....	21	0.95%	Person.....	62	0.26%	Cleveland.....	31	0.62%	83.5%	Person.....	60	0.24%	159.6%
Columbus.....	45	0.51%	Pitt.....	12	1.42%	Columbus.....	52	0.31%	71.4%	Pitt.....	12	1.44%	183.6%
Craven.....	25	0.87%	Polk.....	84	0.08%	Craven.....	28	0.73%	132.2%	Polk.....	82	0.07%	124.0%
Cumberland....	7	2.93%	Randolph.....	24	0.88%	Cumberland....	8	2.58%	144.7%	Randolph.....	29	0.71%	124.4%
Currituck.....	81	0.09%	Richmond.....	52	0.42%	Currituck.....	63	0.22%	556.5%	Richmond.....	54	0.27%	80.1%
Dare.....	28	0.84%	Robeson.....	26	0.87%	Dare.....	14	1.19%	296.6%	Robeson.....	30	0.65%	109.4%
Davidson.....	18	1.12%	Rockingham....	32	0.78%	Davidson.....	21	0.87%	116.6%	Rockingham....	42	0.43%	53.9%
Davie.....	66	0.22%	Rowan.....	14	1.18%	Davie.....	68	0.17%	112.7%	Rowan.....	26	0.76%	80.1%
Duplin.....	58	0.30%	Rutherford.....	42	0.58%	Duplin.....	62	0.23%	108.9%	Rutherford.....	46	0.37%	76.6%
Durham.....	5	3.46%	Sampson.....	53	0.36%	Durham.....	5	3.79%	204.9%	Sampson.....	51	0.32%	143.3%
Edgecombe.....	48	0.47%	Scotland.....	55	0.35%	Edgecombe.....	55	0.27%	59.9%	Scotland.....	57	0.25%	101.4%
Forsyth.....	4	4.99%	Stanly.....	44	0.55%	Forsyth.....	4	4.08%	127.6%	Stanly.....	39	0.44%	124.2%
Franklin.....	69	0.18%	Stokes.....	71	0.17%	Franklin.....	56	0.26%	303.1%	Stokes.....	71	0.15%	134.4%
Gaston.....	10	1.93%	Surry.....	31	0.81%	Gaston.....	13	1.42%	105.8%	Surry.....	34	0.61%	110.0%
Gates.....	98	0.03%	Swain.....	83	0.08%	Gates.....	99	0.02%	49.2%	Swain.....	84	0.06%	96.6%
Graham.....	93	0.05%	Transylvania....	64	0.24%	Graham.....	93	0.04%	100.5%	Transylvania....	61	0.23%	170.4%
Granville.....	63	0.25%	Tyrrell.....	99	0.02%	Granville.....	65	0.21%	132.4%	Tyrrell.....	100	0.01%	63.1%
Greene.....	89	0.07%	Union.....	23	0.89%	Greene.....	91	0.04%	71.1%	Union.....	18	0.99%	210.6%
Guilford.....	3	7.05%	Vance.....	50	0.43%	Guilford.....	3	5.83%	130.4%	Vance.....	50	0.32%	107.8%
Halifax.....	43	0.58%	Wake.....	2	8.63%	Halifax.....	49	0.34%	66.6%	Wake.....	2	9.97%	222.0%
Harnett.....	46	0.51%	Warren.....	85	0.08%	Harnett.....	38	0.46%	151.8%	Warren.....	90	0.04%	43.3%
Haywood.....	38	0.61%	Washington....	80	0.10%	Haywood.....	37	0.47%	116.9%	Washington....	86	0.05%	44.7%
Henderson.....	27	0.85%	Watauga.....	39	0.60%	Henderson.....	23	0.83%	172.4%	Watauga.....	33	0.62%	188.0%
Hertford.....	59	0.28%	Wayne.....	16	1.15%	Hertford.....	66	0.19%	93.5%	Wayne.....	19	0.93%	124.7%
Hoke.....	82	0.09%	Wilkes.....	41	0.59%	Hoke.....	81	0.07%	135.5%	Wilkes.....	40	0.43%	103.2%
Hyde.....	95	0.04%	Wilson.....	22	0.91%	Hyde.....	89	0.04%	173.3%	Wilson.....	32	0.62%	89.4%
Iredell.....	15	1.17%	Yadkin.....	68	0.20%	Iredell.....	11	1.51%	259.0%	Yadkin.....	73	0.14%	95.6%
Jackson.....	56	0.32%	Yancey.....	79	0.11%	Jackson.....	53	0.28%	144.4%	Yancey.....	79	0.09%	116.9%
			Unallocated.....	2	10.83%					Unallocated.....	1	16.97%	336.7%
			Statewide totals	-	100.00%					Statewide totals	-	100.00%	178.7%

Detail may not add to totals due to rounding.

Computations and rankings exclude the following taxes: 8% highway use tax-motor vehicle leasing, certain use tax, and utility services. The ranking shown for the Unallocated category merely indicates its relative placement in comparison with the 100 counties and does not affect the county rankings.