

TABLE 35. A COUNTY COMPARISON OF STATE SALES AND USE TAX GROSS COLLECTIONS FOR 1988-89 AND 2002-03
 [RANK, CONTRIBUTION PERCENTAGE, AND OVERALL GROWTH]

Fiscal year 1988-89						Fiscal year 2002-03							
County	Rank	% of total	County	Rank	% of total	County	Rank	% of total	Overall growth 03/89	County	Rank	% of total	Overall growth 03/89
Alamance.....	11	1.56%	Johnston.....	27	0.89%	Alamance.....	15	1.19%	80.6%	Johnston.....	19	0.92%	145.6%
Alexander.....	74	0.16%	Jones.....	94	0.05%	Alexander.....	77	0.12%	79.7%	Jones.....	97	0.03%	38.0%
Alleghany.....	87	0.07%	Lee.....	35	0.69%	Alleghany.....	85	0.05%	73.0%	Lee.....	36	0.50%	70.7%
Anson.....	76	0.15%	Lenoir.....	29	0.82%	Anson.....	78	0.10%	56.1%	Lenoir.....	35	0.52%	51.8%
Ashe.....	68	0.20%	Lincoln.....	52	0.41%	Ashe.....	72	0.15%	80.4%	Lincoln.....	45	0.38%	119.3%
Avery.....	73	0.17%	Macon.....	55	0.33%	Avery.....	69	0.16%	124.8%	Macon.....	46	0.38%	175.3%
Beaufort.....	50	0.46%	Madison.....	89	0.07%	Beaufort.....	49	0.33%	70.1%	Madison.....	86	0.05%	79.0%
Bertie.....	83	0.08%	Martin.....	58	0.28%	Bertie.....	92	0.04%	2.3%	Martin.....	73	0.14%	17.9%
Bladen.....	67	0.21%	McDowell.....	57	0.29%	Bladen.....	71	0.15%	74.6%	McDowell.....	65	0.19%	60.5%
Brunswick.....	40	0.58%	Mecklenburg....	1	11.77%	Brunswick.....	25	0.77%	218.2%	Mecklenburg....	1	12.31%	148.0%
Buncombe.....	7	2.90%	Mitchell.....	75	0.16%	Buncombe.....	6	2.83%	131.3%	Mitchell.....	76	0.13%	87.7%
Burke.....	36	0.67%	Montgomery....	72	0.17%	Burke.....	40	0.45%	60.2%	Montgomery....	75	0.13%	81.9%
Cabarrus.....	17	1.15%	Moore.....	31	0.79%	Cabarrus.....	10	1.56%	222.5%	Moore.....	28	0.73%	119.0%
Caldwell.....	37	0.66%	Nash.....	13	1.24%	Caldwell.....	42	0.43%	54.7%	Nash.....	21	0.88%	68.9%
Camden.....	100	0.02%	New Hanover....	8	2.39%	Camden.....	98	0.03%	236.2%	New Hanover....	7	2.61%	158.8%
Carteret.....	34	0.75%	Northampton....	90	0.07%	Carteret.....	26	0.75%	136.7%	Northampton....	93	0.03%	23.5%
Caswell.....	93	0.05%	Onslow.....	19	1.07%	Caswell.....	94	0.03%	63.9%	Onslow.....	16	0.99%	119.5%
Catawba.....	9	2.12%	Orange.....	20	1.05%	Catawba.....	9	1.73%	93.5%	Orange.....	17	0.98%	122.5%
Chatham.....	59	0.28%	Pamlico.....	91	0.06%	Chatham.....	58	0.25%	111.3%	Pamlico.....	90	0.04%	69.4%
Cherokee.....	65	0.23%	Pasquotank.....	48	0.47%	Cherokee.....	57	0.25%	160.5%	Pasquotank.....	47	0.35%	77.6%
Chowan.....	78	0.13%	Pender.....	77	0.14%	Chowan.....	80	0.07%	32.1%	Pender.....	67	0.17%	190.4%
Clay.....	96	0.04%	Perquimans.....	95	0.05%	Clay.....	88	0.05%	175.5%	Perquimans.....	95	0.03%	69.6%
Cleveland.....	21	1.03%	Person.....	62	0.26%	Cleveland.....	32	0.66%	50.9%	Person.....	59	0.24%	113.9%
Columbus.....	46	0.50%	Pitt.....	12	1.47%	Columbus.....	51	0.31%	46.9%	Pitt.....	12	1.44%	133.4%
Craven.....	28	0.87%	Polk.....	84	0.08%	Craven.....	29	0.70%	90.3%	Polk.....	81	0.07%	93.9%
Cumberland....	6	2.99%	Randolph.....	26	0.91%	Cumberland....	8	2.47%	95.9%	Randolph.....	27	0.74%	92.5%
Currituck.....	79	0.12%	Richmond.....	51	0.44%	Currituck.....	60	0.22%	350.0%	Richmond.....	55	0.27%	43.5%
Dare.....	33	0.77%	Robeson.....	24	0.94%	Dare.....	14	1.21%	271.3%	Robeson.....	31	0.67%	69.0%
Davidson.....	18	1.13%	Rockingham....	32	0.78%	Davidson.....	24	0.82%	71.9%	Rockingham....	41	0.44%	34.3%
Davie.....	66	0.22%	Rowan.....	14	1.22%	Davie.....	68	0.16%	72.1%	Rowan.....	23	0.82%	60.0%
Duplin.....	56	0.30%	Rutherford.....	39	0.61%	Duplin.....	62	0.22%	69.9%	Rutherford.....	44	0.39%	52.3%
Durham.....	5	3.29%	Sampson.....	53	0.40%	Durham.....	5	3.92%	182.1%	Sampson.....	52	0.31%	79.3%
Edgecombe.....	47	0.49%	Scotland.....	54	0.34%	Edgecombe.....	53	0.28%	37.5%	Scotland.....	56	0.25%	73.2%
Forsyth.....	4	5.57%	Stanly.....	43	0.56%	Forsyth.....	4	4.10%	74.5%	Stanly.....	39	0.45%	91.7%
Franklin.....	70	0.18%	Stokes.....	71	0.18%	Franklin.....	63	0.21%	176.1%	Stokes.....	74	0.13%	78.1%
Gaston.....	10	2.01%	Surry.....	30	0.80%	Gaston.....	11	1.47%	72.9%	Surry.....	34	0.60%	78.0%
Gates.....	98	0.03%	Swain.....	86	0.08%	Gates.....	99	0.01%	-8.6%	Swain.....	83	0.06%	86.6%
Graham.....	92	0.05%	Transylvania....	64	0.25%	Graham.....	96	0.03%	52.6%	Transylvania....	61	0.22%	110.3%
Granville.....	61	0.27%	Tyrrell.....	99	0.02%	Granville.....	64	0.21%	81.2%	Tyrrell.....	100	0.01%	43.5%
Greene.....	88	0.07%	Union.....	25	0.92%	Greene.....	91	0.04%	28.9%	Union.....	18	0.92%	138.7%
Guilford.....	3	7.34%	Vance.....	49	0.47%	Guilford.....	3	5.90%	90.5%	Vance.....	48	0.34%	74.8%
Halifax.....	41	0.57%	Wake.....	2	8.49%	Halifax.....	50	0.33%	36.3%	Wake.....	2	9.58%	167.6%
Harnett.....	45	0.53%	Warren.....	85	0.08%	Harnett.....	43	0.42%	85.6%	Warren.....	89	0.05%	42.4%
Haywood.....	38	0.63%	Washington.....	81	0.10%	Haywood.....	37	0.49%	83.5%	Washington.....	84	0.05%	23.1%
Henderson.....	23	0.94%	Watauga.....	44	0.55%	Henderson.....	22	0.83%	108.5%	Watauga.....	33	0.63%	169.5%
Hertford.....	60	0.27%	Wayne.....	15	1.19%	Hertford.....	66	0.19%	63.5%	Wayne.....	20	0.90%	79.9%
Hoke.....	82	0.09%	Wilkes.....	42	0.56%	Hoke.....	82	0.07%	78.2%	Wilkes.....	38	0.46%	94.4%
Hyde.....	97	0.04%	Wilson.....	22	0.99%	Hyde.....	87	0.05%	199.2%	Wilson.....	30	0.69%	65.0%
Iredell.....	16	1.16%	Yadkin.....	69	0.20%	Iredell.....	13	1.33%	171.5%	Yadkin.....	70	0.15%	83.7%
Jackson.....	63	0.25%	Yancey.....	80	0.11%	Jackson.....	54	0.28%	165.2%	Yancey.....	79	0.08%	80.9%
			Unallocated.....	[2]	9.42%					Unallocated.....	[1]	18.10%	355.4%
			Statewide totals	-----	100.00%					Statewide totals	-----	100.00%	137.0%

Detail may not add to totals due to rounding.

Percentage of total computations exclude the following taxes: 8% highway use tax-motor vehicle leasing, certain use tax, and utility services. *The ranking shown for the Unallocated category merely indicates its relative placement in comparison with the 100 counties and does not affect the county rankings.*