

Hold Harmless Distribution - 2013

		Computed Reimbursements 2002-2003	* Computed Share of Replacement Revenue Projection 2013-14	Is the Computed Share less than the Reimbursements? If yes, by how much?		**2013-2014 Hold Harmless Distribution
County	Municipalities					
Alamance		\$ 4,056,037.27	\$ 5,250,488.43	no		\$ -
Alamance	Alamance	\$ 4,445.45	\$ 33,394.87	no		\$ -
* Alamance	Burlington	\$ 1,386,731.23	\$ 1,755,439.04	no		\$ -
Alamance	Elon	\$ 114,103.42	\$ 331,716.35	no		\$ -
Alamance	Graham	\$ 275,948.74	\$ 490,413.39	no		\$ -
Alamance	Green Level	\$ 9,473.06	\$ 72,554.59	no		\$ -
Alamance	Haw River	\$ 172,179.12	\$ 79,556.02	yes	\$ 92,623.10	\$ 46,311.55
* Alamance	Mebane	\$ 269,152.77	\$ 389,749.36	no		\$ -
Alamance	Ossipee	\$ -	\$ 18,884.99	no		\$ -
Alamance	Swepsonville	\$ 265.19	\$ 40,008.49	no		\$ -
Alexander		\$ 883,334.63	\$ 1,077,362.31	no		\$ -
Alexander	Taylorsville	\$ 53,445.96	\$ 60,952.25	no		\$ -
Alleghany		\$ 272,889.21	\$ 355,507.01	no		\$ -
Alleghany	Sparta	\$ 17,480.20	\$ 56,396.02	no		\$ -
Anson		\$ 873,612.54	\$ 591,859.82	yes	\$ 281,752.72	\$ 140,876.36
Anson	Ansonville	\$ 3,840.63	\$ 13,897.24	no		\$ -
Anson	Lilesville	\$ 3,938.66	\$ 11,811.84	no		\$ -
Anson	McFarlan	\$ 558.91	\$ 2,582.16	no		\$ -
Anson	Morven	\$ 3,999.71	\$ 11,202.45	no		\$ -
Anson	Peachland	\$ 3,374.86	\$ 9,546.40	no		\$ -
Anson	Polkton	\$ 10,931.06	\$ 74,856.86	no		\$ -
Anson	Wadesboro	\$ 163,779.64	\$ 127,891.22	yes	\$ 35,888.42	\$ 17,944.21
Ashe		\$ 538,932.78	\$ 1,011,044.16	no		\$ -
Ashe	Jefferson	\$ 26,449.05	\$ 59,059.89	no		\$ -
Ashe	Lansing	\$ 1,435.64	\$ 5,865.40	no		\$ -
Ashe	West Jefferson	\$ 65,251.64	\$ 48,355.83	yes	\$ 16,895.81	\$ 8,447.90
Avery		\$ 316,434.41	\$ 873,629.04	no		\$ -
Avery	Banner Elk	\$ 10,325.64	\$ 52,700.87	no		\$ -
Avery	Crossnore	\$ 1,110.44	\$ 9,504.92	no		\$ -
Avery	Elk Park	\$ 2,723.97	\$ 22,088.00	no		\$ -
Avery	Grandfather Village	\$ 63.92	\$ 1,225.18	no		\$ -
Avery	Newland	\$ 13,716.82	\$ 34,143.98	no		\$ -
Avery	Sugar Mountain	\$ 18,346.72	\$ 9,671.79	yes	\$ 8,674.93	\$ 4,337.47
Beaufort		\$ 1,421,486.18	\$ 1,830,048.75	no		\$ -
Beaufort	Aurora	\$ 5,782.26	\$ 19,850.95	no		\$ -
Beaufort	Bath	\$ 2,781.85	\$ 9,530.28	no		\$ -
Beaufort	Belhaven	\$ 22,138.10	\$ 64,470.52	no		\$ -
Beaufort	Chocowinity	\$ 18,706.57	\$ 31,431.45	no		\$ -
Beaufort	Pantego	\$ 1,309.68	\$ 6,880.00	no		\$ -
Beaufort	Washington	\$ 221,909.53	\$ 372,775.66	no		\$ -
Beaufort	Washington Park	\$ 3,661.75	\$ 17,264.08	no		\$ -

Hold Harmless Distribution - 2013

		Computed Reimbursements 2002-2003	* Computed Share of Replacement Revenue Projection 2013-14	Is the Computed Share less than the Reimbursements? If yes, by how much?	**2013-2014 Hold Harmless Distribution	
County	Municipalities					
Bertie		\$ 475,430.14	\$ 433,042.12	yes	\$ 42,388.02	\$ 21,194.01
Bertie	Askewville	\$ 1,152.92	\$ 4,911.04	no		\$ -
Bertie	Aulander	\$ 18,733.43	\$ 18,229.12	yes	\$ 504.31	\$ 252.16
Bertie	Colerain	\$ 2,848.34	\$ 4,148.61	no		\$ -
Bertie	Kelford	\$ 1,994.48	\$ 5,103.33	no		\$ -
Bertie	Lewiston Woodville	\$ 11,677.08	\$ 11,181.95	yes	\$ 495.13	\$ 247.57
Bertie	Powellsville	\$ 1,979.91	\$ 5,604.68	no		\$ -
Bertie	Roxobel	\$ 1,877.70	\$ 4,862.88	no		\$ -
Bertie	Windsor	\$ 14,201.37	\$ 73,825.15	no		\$ -
Bladen		\$ 851,264.62	\$ 1,066,189.55	no		\$ -
Bladen	Bladenboro	\$ 27,696.49	\$ 25,201.46	yes	\$ 2,495.03	\$ 1,247.52
Bladen	Clarkton	\$ 15,561.05	\$ 17,679.35	no		\$ -
Bladen	Dublin	\$ 6,989.43	\$ 4,318.10	yes	\$ 2,671.33	\$ 1,335.67
Bladen	East Arcadia	\$ 1,880.16	\$ 2,384.48	no		\$ -
Bladen	Elizabethtown	\$ 94,660.87	\$ 84,996.22	yes	\$ 9,664.65	\$ 4,832.33
Bladen	Tar Heel	\$ 533.73	\$ 934.97	no		\$ -
Bladen	White Lake	\$ 15,834.13	\$ 36,839.83	no		\$ -
Brunswick		\$ 1,304,098.37	\$ 4,355,631.72	no		\$ -
Brunswick	Bald Head Island	\$ 39,726.01	\$ 6,355.93	yes	\$ 33,370.08	\$ 16,685.04
Brunswick	Belville	\$ 1,965.71	\$ 78,262.01	no		\$ -
Brunswick	Boiling Spring Lakes	\$ 13,845.60	\$ 218,588.80	no		\$ -
Brunswick	Bolivia	\$ 1,365.16	\$ 5,734.46	no		\$ -
Brunswick	Calabash	\$ 4,296.80	\$ 72,038.56	no		\$ -
Brunswick	Carolina Shores	\$ 4,686.47	\$ 123,285.79	no		\$ -
Brunswick	Caswell Beach	\$ 5,224.34	\$ 16,114.80	no		\$ -
Brunswick	Holden Beach	\$ 15,156.92	\$ 23,129.97	no		\$ -
Brunswick	Leland	\$ 14,007.18	\$ 550,990.77	no		\$ -
Brunswick	Navassa	\$ 3,761.12	\$ 61,247.02	no		\$ -
Brunswick	Northwest	\$ 2,166.13	\$ 29,736.06	no		\$ -
Brunswick	Oak Island	\$ 62,527.11	\$ 273,056.44	no		\$ -
Brunswick	Ocean Isle Beach	\$ 14,800.48	\$ 22,304.95	no		\$ -
Brunswick	St. James	\$ 22,529.74	\$ 10,506.40	no		\$ -
Brunswick	Sandy Creek	\$ 6,420.56	\$ 149,396.79	no		\$ -
Brunswick	Shallotte	\$ 916.35	\$ 115,082.86	no		\$ -
Brunswick	Southport	\$ 31,039.25	\$ 130,590.83	no		\$ -
Brunswick	Sunset Beach	\$ 13,258.92	\$ 144,798.65	no		\$ -
Brunswick	Varnamtown	\$ 1,392.76	\$ 21,897.84	no		\$ -
Buncombe		\$ 6,480,548.19	\$ 12,031,493.38	no		\$ -
Buncombe	Asheville	\$ 2,364,227.98	\$ 3,160,614.52	no		\$ -
Buncombe	Biltmore Forest	\$ 53,689.25	\$ 166,106.20	no		\$ -
Buncombe	Black Mountain	\$ 106,922.38	\$ 235,839.75	no		\$ -
Buncombe	Montreat	\$ 23,116.97	\$ 59,849.48	no		\$ -
Buncombe	Weaverville	\$ 72,011.24	\$ 186,506.28	no		\$ -
Buncombe	Woodfin	\$ 61,211.29	\$ 116,935.86	no		\$ -

Hold Harmless Distribution - 2013

		Computed Reimbursements 2002-2003	* Computed Share of Replacement Revenue Projection 2013-14	Is the Computed Share less than the Reimbursements? If yes, by how much?	**2013-2014 Hold Harmless Distribution
County	Municipalities				
Burke		\$ 2,400,239.96	\$ 2,618,587.04	no	\$ -
Burke	Connelly Springs	\$ 5,006.43	\$ 48,027.33	no	\$ -
Burke	Drexel	\$ 57,938.13	\$ 53,610.73	yes \$ 4,327.40	\$ 2,163.70
Burke	Glen Alpine	\$ 8,319.55	\$ 43,797.69	no	\$ -
Burke	Hildebran	\$ 16,230.85	\$ 58,100.58	no	\$ -
Burke	Morganton	\$ 588,325.35	\$ 487,201.05	yes \$ 101,124.30	\$ 50,562.15
Burke	Rutherford College	\$ 6,709.13	\$ 38,713.46	no	\$ -
Burke	Valdese	\$ 249,034.29	\$ 129,318.63	yes \$ 119,715.66	\$ 59,857.83
Cabarrus		\$ 3,807,195.59	\$ 7,531,630.07	no	\$ -
Cabarrus	Concord	\$ 1,672,283.30	\$ 2,393,264.45	no	\$ -
Cabarrus	Harrisburg	\$ 37,769.00	\$ 226,210.38	no	\$ -
Cabarrus	Kannapolis	\$ 710,763.98	\$ 1,155,310.09	no	\$ -
Cabarrus	Midland	\$ 8,257.70	\$ 28,282.20	no	\$ -
Cabarrus	Mount Pleasant	\$ 22,001.00	\$ 36,235.82	no	\$ -
Caldwell		\$ 2,349,858.90	\$ 2,200,097.72	yes \$ 149,761.18	\$ 74,880.59
Caldwell	Cajah Mountain	\$ 1,113.44	\$ 74,701.10	no	\$ -
Caldwell	Cedar Rock	\$ 2,682.04	\$ 7,930.92	no	\$ -
Caldwell	Gamewell	\$ 1,519.15	\$ 107,232.80	no	\$ -
Caldwell	Granite Falls	\$ 127,453.95	\$ 124,704.93	yes \$ 2,749.02	\$ 1,374.51
Caldwell	Hudson	\$ 63,924.04	\$ 100,906.39	no	\$ -
Caldwell	Lenoir	\$ 1,157,766.42	\$ 480,861.32	yes \$ 676,905.10	\$ 338,452.55
Caldwell	Rhodhiss	\$ 19,220.87	\$ 30,092.99	no	\$ -
Caldwell	Sawmills	\$ 18,936.71	\$ 138,535.09	no	\$ -
Camden		\$ 119,313.43	\$ 311,600.93	no	\$ -
Carteret		\$ 1,209,747.97	\$ 3,208,001.97	no	\$ -
Carteret	Atlantic Beach	\$ 53,109.11	\$ 178,968.88	no	\$ -
Carteret	Beaufort	\$ 55,846.81	\$ 132,196.49	no	\$ -
Carteret	Bogue	\$ 1,495.99	\$ 2,400.98	no	\$ -
Carteret	Cape Carteret	\$ 13,805.72	\$ 40,444.37	no	\$ -
Carteret	Cedar Point	\$ 3,760.66	\$ 14,275.00	no	\$ -
Carteret	Emerald Isle	\$ 74,353.67	\$ 266,574.83	no	\$ -
Carteret	Indian Beach	\$ 10,679.03	\$ 70,529.00	no	\$ -
Carteret	Morehead City	\$ 169,903.92	\$ 342,209.63	no	\$ -
Carteret	Newport	\$ 24,163.43	\$ 66,192.41	no	\$ -
Carteret	Peletier	\$ 1,283.01	\$ 2,480.23	no	\$ -
Carteret	Pine Knoll Shores	\$ 27,315.87	\$ 124,971.42	no	\$ -
Caswell		\$ 433,661.06	\$ 527,041.27	no	\$ -
Caswell	Milton	\$ 1,194.57	\$ 1,638.42	no	\$ -
Caswell	Yanceyville	\$ 17,843.42	\$ 17,356.58	yes \$ 486.84	\$ 243.42

Hold Harmless Distribution - 2013

		Computed Reimbursements 2002-2003	* Computed Share of Replacement Revenue Projection 2013-14	Is the Computed Share less than the Reimbursements? If yes, by how much?	**2013-2014 Hold Harmless Distribution
County	Municipalities				
Catawba		\$ 4,208,711.72	\$ 5,841,438.13	no	\$ -
Catawba	Brookford	\$ 17,987.09	\$ 14,420.51	yes	\$ 3,566.58
Catawba	Catawba	\$ 14,475.59	\$ 22,830.28	no	\$ -
Catawba	Claremont	\$ 128,536.49	\$ 51,378.61	yes	\$ 77,157.88
Catawba	Conover	\$ 471,727.79	\$ 309,192.83	yes	\$ 162,534.96
* Catawba	Hickory	\$ 1,813,258.11	\$ 1,511,097.50	yes	\$ 302,160.61
* Catawba	Long View	\$ 190,211.63	\$ 177,440.99	yes	\$ 12,770.64
Catawba	Maiden	\$ 119,247.14	\$ 126,295.60	no	\$ -
Catawba	Newton	\$ 490,287.83	\$ 489,536.91	yes	\$ 750.92
Chatham		\$ 1,582,131.13	\$ 2,057,162.66	no	\$ -
Chatham	Goldston	\$ 8,229.01	\$ 8,571.20	no	\$ -
Chatham	Pittsboro	\$ 41,350.80	\$ 122,969.97	no	\$ -
Chatham	Siler City	\$ 172,869.43	\$ 253,836.21	no	\$ -
Cherokee		\$ 487,985.33	\$ 1,154,503.30	no	\$ -
Cherokee	Andrews	\$ 29,021.06	\$ 74,679.90	no	\$ -
Cherokee	Murphy	\$ 42,970.96	\$ 68,350.42	no	\$ -
Chowan		\$ 441,952.25	\$ 535,057.05	no	\$ -
Chowan	Edenton	\$ 131,690.97	\$ 74,603.18	yes	\$ 57,087.79
Clay		\$ 167,232.03	\$ 370,508.70	no	\$ -
Clay	Hayesville	\$ 4,380.98	\$ 4,549.73	no	\$ -
Cleveland		\$ 2,688,473.76	\$ 3,444,855.46	no	\$ -
Cleveland	Belwood	\$ -	\$ -	no	\$ -
Cleveland	Boiling Springs	\$ 27,464.50	\$ 52,066.73	no	\$ -
Cleveland	Casar	\$ 694.49	\$ 423.52	yes	\$ 270.97
Cleveland	Earl	\$ 692.00	\$ 1,083.82	no	\$ -
Cleveland	Fallston	\$ 1,537.72	\$ 1,338.84	yes	\$ 198.88
Cleveland	Grover	\$ 14,562.49	\$ 8,940.41	yes	\$ 5,622.08
* Cleveland	Kings Mountain	\$ 172,819.18	\$ 194,652.83	no	\$ -
Cleveland	Kingstown	\$ 4,223.40	\$ 5,160.69	no	\$ -
Cleveland	Lattimore	\$ 1,695.15	\$ 2,221.54	no	\$ -
Cleveland	Lawndale	\$ 19,060.45	\$ 3,364.62	yes	\$ 15,695.83
Cleveland	Mooreboro	\$ -	\$ -	no	\$ -
Cleveland	Patterson Springs	\$ -	\$ -	no	\$ -
Cleveland	Polkville	\$ 1,278.97	\$ 878.44	yes	\$ 400.53
Cleveland	Shelby	\$ 309,659.31	\$ 493,293.58	no	\$ -
Cleveland	Waco	\$ 952.73	\$ 1,400.72	no	\$ -

Hold Harmless Distribution - 2013

		Computed Reimbursements 2002-2003	* Computed Share of Replacement Revenue Projection 2013-14	Is the Computed Share less than the Reimbursements? If yes, by how much?	**2013-2014 Hold Harmless Distribution	
County	Municipalities					
Columbus		\$ 1,758,826.75	\$ 1,584,376.23	yes	\$ 174,450.52	\$ 87,225.26
Columbus	Boardman	\$ 684.36	\$ 4,282.13	no		\$ -
Columbus	Bolton	\$ 3,754.75	\$ 18,934.75	no		\$ -
Columbus	Brunswick	\$ 6,158.28	\$ 30,693.91	no		\$ -
Columbus	Cerro Gordo	\$ 1,332.06	\$ 5,616.09	no		\$ -
Columbus	Chadbourn	\$ 31,732.47	\$ 50,604.18	no		\$ -
Columbus	Fair Bluff	\$ 13,761.39	\$ 25,923.12	no		\$ -
Columbus	Lake Waccamaw	\$ 21,032.82	\$ 40,350.05	no		\$ -
Columbus	Sandyfield	\$ 1,804.57	\$ 12,252.19	no		\$ -
Columbus	Tabor City	\$ 78,807.20	\$ 108,743.44	no		\$ -
Columbus	Whiteville	\$ 216,148.31	\$ 147,002.01	yes	\$ 69,146.30	\$ 34,573.15
Craven		\$ 1,954,095.67	\$ 3,404,670.94	no		\$ -
Craven	Bridgeton	\$ 8,925.41	\$ 24,409.90	no		\$ -
Craven	Cove City	\$ 1,644.07	\$ 1,889.90	no		\$ -
Craven	Dover	\$ 2,734.57	\$ 4,001.19	no		\$ -
Craven	Havelock	\$ 151,717.24	\$ 316,558.56	no		\$ -
Craven	New Bern	\$ 470,852.51	\$ 949,381.68	no		\$ -
Craven	River Bend	\$ 23,510.79	\$ 62,893.77	no		\$ -
Craven	Trent Woods	\$ 20,841.42	\$ 78,795.46	no		\$ -
Craven	Vanceboro	\$ 9,385.72	\$ 18,543.22	no		\$ -
Cumberland		\$ 5,227,184.47	\$ 10,122,054.31	no		\$ -
Cumberland	Eastover	\$ -	\$ 113,138.67	no		\$ -
Cumberland	Falcon	\$ 1,176.87	\$ 9,637.50	no		\$ -
Cumberland	Fayetteville	\$ 1,378,751.07	\$ 6,435,276.22	no		\$ -
Cumberland	Godwin	\$ 534.09	\$ 4,319.24	no		\$ -
Cumberland	Hope Mills	\$ 82,560.24	\$ 475,022.36	no		\$ -
Cumberland	Linden	\$ 661.83	\$ 4,019.88	no		\$ -
Cumberland	Spring Lake	\$ 63,352.97	\$ 364,176.41	no		\$ -
Cumberland	Stedman	\$ 4,663.45	\$ 32,057.24	no		\$ -
Cumberland	Wade	\$ 3,052.52	\$ 17,358.87	no		\$ -
Currituck		\$ 267,894.63	\$ 1,713,754.52	no		\$ -
Dare		\$ 463,208.34	\$ 3,751,273.03	no		\$ -
Dare	Duck	\$ -	\$ 178,161.33	no		\$ -
Dare	Kill Devil Hills	\$ 56,615.63	\$ 380,403.66	no		\$ -
Dare	Kitty Hawk	\$ 30,470.62	\$ 174,792.28	no		\$ -
Dare	Manteo	\$ 39,572.03	\$ 108,703.35	no		\$ -
Dare	Nags Head	\$ 47,563.98	\$ 472,869.08	no		\$ -
Dare	Southern Shores	\$ 16,054.92	\$ 164,507.22	no		\$ -
Davidson		\$ 3,609,985.93	\$ 4,379,745.14	no		\$ -
Davidson	Denton	\$ 49,702.48	\$ 43,744.82	yes	\$ 5,957.66	\$ 2,978.83
Davidson	Lexington	\$ 404,678.29	\$ 505,864.25	no		\$ -
Davidson	Midway	\$ -	\$ 125,804.15	no		\$ -
Davidson	Thomasville	\$ 923,286.17	\$ 718,838.08	yes	\$ 204,448.09	\$ 102,224.04
Davidson	Wallburg	\$ -	\$ 81,918.96	no		\$ -

Hold Harmless Distribution - 2013

		Computed Reimbursements 2002-2003	* Computed Share of Replacement Revenue Projection 2013-14	Is the Computed Share less than the Reimbursements? If yes, by how much?	**2013-2014 Hold Harmless Distribution	
County	Municipalities					
Davie		\$ 2,559,199.32	\$ 1,319,008.17	yes	\$ 1,240,191.15	\$ 620,095.58
Davie	Bermuda Run	\$ 24,165.78	\$ 71,196.48	no		\$ -
Davie	Cooleemee	\$ 7,735.12	\$ 30,629.29	no		\$ -
Davie	Mocksville	\$ 318,680.69	\$ 161,916.16	yes	\$ 156,764.53	\$ 78,382.27
Duplin		\$ 1,068,678.87	\$ 1,688,820.21	no		\$ -
Duplin	Beulaville	\$ 18,456.74	\$ 37,211.49	no		\$ -
Duplin	Calypso	\$ 7,577.47	\$ 15,473.85	no		\$ -
Duplin	Faison	\$ 44,004.43	\$ 27,782.47	yes	\$ 16,221.96	\$ 8,110.98
Duplin	Greenevers	\$ 2,352.75	\$ 18,201.85	no		\$ -
Duplin	Kenansville	\$ 10,317.68	\$ 24,389.57	no		\$ -
Duplin	Magnolia	\$ 6,188.91	\$ 27,003.23	no		\$ -
Duplin	Rose Hill	\$ 33,700.56	\$ 46,792.23	no		\$ -
Duplin	Teachey	\$ 2,031.52	\$ 10,835.43	no		\$ -
Duplin	Wallace	\$ 57,042.11	\$ 111,615.08	no		\$ -
Duplin	Warsaw	\$ 90,725.73	\$ 87,520.81	yes	\$ 3,204.92	\$ 1,602.46
Durham		\$ 9,735,561.86	\$ 10,094,945.16	no		\$ -
Durham	Durham	\$ 3,826,248.12	\$ 8,598,175.16	no		\$ -
Edgecombe		\$ 1,712,050.65	\$ 1,265,236.81	yes	\$ 446,813.84	\$ 223,406.92
Edgecombe	Conetoe	\$ 1,921.92	\$ 6,586.20	no		\$ -
Edgecombe	Leggett	\$ 440.67	\$ 1,348.36	no		\$ -
Edgecombe	Macclesfield	\$ 4,148.59	\$ 10,549.45	no		\$ -
Edgecombe	Pinetops	\$ 12,337.79	\$ 30,691.62	no		\$ -
Edgecombe	Princeville	\$ 15,288.31	\$ 47,196.46	no		\$ -
Edgecombe	Speed	\$ 495.61	\$ 1,797.76	no		\$ -
Edgecombe	Tarboro	\$ 436,862.96	\$ 255,417.86	yes	\$ 181,445.10	\$ 90,722.55
Edgecombe	Whitakers	\$ 10,886.51	\$ 19,733.87	no		\$ -
Forsyth		\$ 13,680,594.34	\$ 12,960,111.09	yes	\$ 720,483.25	\$ 360,241.62
Forsyth	Bethania	\$ 2,583.39	\$ 6,120.36	no		\$ -
Forsyth	Clemmons	\$ 76,862.17	\$ 129,905.15	no		\$ -
Forsyth	Kernersville	\$ 1,361,551.33	\$ 700,118.73	yes	\$ 661,432.60	\$ 330,716.30
Forsyth	Lewisville	\$ 51,057.52	\$ 128,331.16	no		\$ -
Forsyth	Rural Hall	\$ 46,217.85	\$ 52,329.23	no		\$ -
Forsyth	Tobaccoville	\$ 5,893.00	\$ 5,267.85	yes	\$ 625.15	\$ 312.58
Forsyth	Walkertown	\$ 31,905.75	\$ 47,210.28	no		\$ -
Forsyth	Winston-Salem	\$ 7,644,735.77	\$ 5,579,052.34	yes	\$ 2,065,683.43	\$ 1,032,841.72
Franklin		\$ 936,424.25	\$ 1,780,847.91	no		\$ -
Franklin	Bunn	\$ 4,024.98	\$ 7,253.20	no		\$ -
Franklin	Centerville	\$ -	\$ -	no		\$ -
Franklin	Franklinton	\$ 21,948.94	\$ 34,088.31	no		\$ -
Franklin	Louisburg	\$ 39,042.07	\$ 63,833.72	no		\$ -

Hold Harmless Distribution - 2013

		Computed Reimbursements 2002-2003	* Computed Share of Replacement Revenue Projection 2013-14	Is the Computed Share less than the Reimbursements? If yes, by how much?	**2013-2014 Hold Harmless Distribution
County	Municipalities				
Franklin	Youngsville	\$ 22,392.29	\$ 35,362.27	no	\$ -
Gaston		\$ 6,156,737.65	\$ 6,699,181.56	no	\$ -
Gaston	Belmont	\$ 203,473.03	\$ 273,441.11	no	\$ -
Gaston	Bessemer City	\$ 106,985.90	\$ 76,988.38	yes	\$ 29,997.52 \$ 14,998.76
Gaston	Cherryville	\$ 97,135.56	\$ 87,537.16	yes	\$ 9,598.40 \$ 4,799.20
Gaston	Cramerton	\$ 61,098.87	\$ 107,139.53	no	\$ -
Gaston	Dallas	\$ 21,132.45	\$ 51,487.77	no	\$ -
Gaston	Gastonia	\$ 1,454,569.59	\$ 1,432,636.01	yes	\$ 21,933.58 \$ 10,966.79
Gaston	High Shoals	\$ 18,027.97	\$ 6,572.22	yes	\$ 11,455.75 \$ 5,727.87
Gaston	Lowell	\$ 86,937.14	\$ 55,238.77	yes	\$ 31,698.37 \$ 15,849.19
Gaston	McAdenville	\$ 253.47	\$ 16,537.40	no	\$ -
Gaston	Mount Holly	\$ 410,181.26	\$ 340,562.57	yes	\$ 69,618.69 \$ 34,809.34
Gaston	Ranlo	\$ 54,651.09	\$ 35,705.62	yes	\$ 18,945.47 \$ 9,472.73
Gaston	Spencer Mountain	\$ 1,632.09	\$ 111.56	yes	\$ 1,520.53 \$ 760.27
Gaston	Stanley	\$ 62,939.75	\$ 70,192.43	no	\$ -
Gates		\$ 233,023.67	\$ 282,514.48	no	\$ -
Gates	Gatesville	\$ 2,238.31	\$ 7,426.31	no	\$ -
Graham		\$ 160,278.42	\$ 310,725.66	no	\$ -
Graham	Fontana Dam	\$ -	\$ -	no	\$ -
Graham	Robbinsville	\$ 53,922.62	\$ 15,654.38	yes	\$ 38,268.24 \$ 19,134.12
Graham	Lake Santeetlah	\$ 2,547.04	\$ 4,182.51	no	\$ -
Granville		\$ 1,859,896.06	\$ 1,434,447.20	yes	\$ 425,448.86 \$ 212,724.43
Granville	Butner	\$ -	\$ 180,680.80	no	\$ -
Granville	Creedmoor	\$ 38,872.70	\$ 98,166.99	no	\$ -
Granville	Oxford	\$ 189,341.39	\$ 201,021.36	no	\$ -
Granville	Stem	\$ 1,912.14	\$ 11,401.55	no	\$ -
Granville	Stovall	\$ 2,827.98	\$ 9,892.43	no	\$ -
Greene		\$ 444,793.39	\$ 489,900.40	no	\$ -
Greene	Hookerton	\$ 4,478.46	\$ 4,316.11	yes	\$ 162.35 \$ 81.18
Greene	Snow Hill	\$ 17,703.50	\$ 19,557.29	no	\$ -
Greene	Walstonburg	\$ 2,233.54	\$ 3,208.90	no	\$ -
Guilford		\$ 15,944,810.53	\$ 16,808,491.45	no	\$ -
* Guilford	Gibsonville	\$ 142,242.10	\$ 166,139.36	no	\$ -
Guilford	Greensboro	\$ 7,845,580.08	\$ 7,186,986.36	yes	\$ 658,593.72 \$ 329,296.86
* Guilford	High Point	\$ 3,348,261.79	\$ 2,838,209.04	yes	\$ 510,052.75 \$ 255,026.37
Guilford	Jamestown	\$ 46,346.66	\$ 71,986.13	no	\$ -
Guilford	Oak Ridge	\$ 1,209.81	\$ 32,063.78	no	\$ -
Guilford	Pleasant Garden	\$ 1,429.91	\$ 4,110.51	no	\$ -
Guilford	Sedalia	\$ 187.49	\$ 5,370.18	no	\$ -
Guilford	Stokesdale	\$ 990.91	\$ -	yes	\$ 990.91 \$ 495.46
Guilford	Summerfield	\$ 2,129.16	\$ 21,026.10	no	\$ -

Hold Harmless Distribution - 2013

		Computed Reimbursements 2002-2003	* Computed Share of Replacement Revenue Projection 2013-14	Is the Computed Share less than the Reimbursements? If yes, by how much?		**2013-2014 Hold Harmless Distribution
County	Municipalities					
Guilford	Whitsett	\$ 208.03	\$ 1,087.15	no		\$ -
Halifax		\$ 1,598,780.65	\$ 1,631,140.79	no		\$ -
Halifax	Enfield	\$ 27,399.93	\$ 39,782.39	no		\$ -
Halifax	Halifax	\$ 3,835.37	\$ 4,135.39	no		\$ -
Halifax	Hobgood	\$ 2,931.09	\$ 3,896.09	no		\$ -
Halifax	Littleton	\$ 17,563.12	\$ 14,290.68	yes	\$ 3,272.44	\$ 1,636.22
Halifax	Roanoke Rapids	\$ 509,742.16	\$ 519,847.42	no		\$ -
Halifax	Scotland Neck	\$ 35,106.19	\$ 33,684.59	yes	\$ 1,421.60	\$ 710.80
Halifax	Weldon	\$ 31,910.44	\$ 84,220.25	no		\$ -
Harnett		\$ 1,314,667.26	\$ 3,381,410.97	no		\$ -
Harnett	Angier	\$ 33,525.60	\$ 82,010.07	no		\$ -
Harnett	Coats	\$ 21,139.83	\$ 33,561.53	no		\$ -
Harnett	Dunn	\$ 242,082.65	\$ 216,833.19	yes	\$ 25,249.46	\$ 12,624.73
Harnett	Erwin	\$ 106,259.66	\$ 80,307.36	yes	\$ 25,952.30	\$ 12,976.15
Harnett	Lillington	\$ 74,463.21	\$ 84,372.54	no		\$ -
Haywood		\$ 1,258,142.03	\$ 2,322,995.04	no		\$ -
Haywood	Canton	\$ 289,632.70	\$ 165,954.53	yes	\$ 123,678.17	\$ 61,839.09
Haywood	Clyde	\$ 11,205.28	\$ 47,979.04	no		\$ -
Haywood	Maggie Valley	\$ 20,721.83	\$ 45,233.50	no		\$ -
Haywood	Waynesville	\$ 192,598.64	\$ 386,879.27	no		\$ -
Henderson		\$ 3,292,947.34	\$ 4,244,597.25	no		\$ -
Henderson	Flat Rock	\$ 1,017.78	\$ 44,303.77	no		\$ -
Henderson	Fletcher	\$ 128,997.01	\$ 191,613.75	no		\$ -
Henderson	Hendersonville	\$ 445,699.99	\$ 433,478.74	yes	\$ 12,221.25	\$ 6,110.63
Henderson	Laurel Park	\$ 49,736.34	\$ 82,149.61	no		\$ -
Henderson	Mills River	\$ -	\$ 45,407.87	no		\$ -
Hertford		\$ 597,249.79	\$ 834,686.97	no		\$ -
Hertford	Ahoskie	\$ 91,324.97	\$ 145,447.63	no		\$ -
Hertford	Cofield	\$ 34,121.13	\$ 8,063.20	yes	\$ 26,057.93	\$ 13,028.97
Hertford	Como	\$ 819.45	\$ 1,166.22	no		\$ -
Hertford	Harrellsville	\$ 674.18	\$ 1,559.31	no		\$ -
Hertford	Murfreesboro	\$ 48,673.90	\$ 58,290.16	no		\$ -
Hertford	Winton	\$ 26,174.32	\$ 13,410.42	yes	\$ 12,763.90	\$ 6,381.95
Hoke		\$ 699,313.31	\$ 1,218,109.09	no		\$ -
Hoke	Raeford	\$ 241,602.03	\$ 118,706.75	yes	\$ 122,895.28	\$ 61,447.64
Hyde		\$ 136,658.56	\$ 296,461.85	no		\$ -
Iredell		\$ 2,976,539.13	\$ 6,382,537.86	no		\$ -
Iredell	Harmony	\$ 1,972.52	\$ 21,149.81	no		\$ -
Iredell	Love Valley	\$ 419.20	\$ 4,478.42	no		\$ -
Iredell	Mooreville	\$ 533,132.62	\$ 1,316,044.02	no		\$ -
Iredell	Statesville	\$ 1,192,247.57	\$ 977,473.01	yes	\$ 214,774.56	\$ 107,387.28

Hold Harmless Distribution - 2013

		Computed Reimbursements 2002-2003	* Computed Share of Replacement Revenue Projection 2013-14	Is the Computed Share less than the Reimbursements? If yes, by how much?	**2013-2014 Hold Harmless Distribution
County	Municipalities				
Iredell	Troutman	\$ 65,686.55	\$ 97,610.17	no	\$ -
Jackson		\$ 628,479.60	\$ 1,880,485.03	no	\$ -
Jackson	Dillsboro	\$ 2,986.80	\$ 6,677.19	no	\$ -
Jackson	Forest Hills	\$ 1,236.36	\$ 2,173.76	no	\$ -
Jackson	Sylva	\$ 58,806.41	\$ 76,857.91	no	\$ -
Jackson	Webster	\$ 1,410.09	\$ 1,836.95	no	\$ -
Johnston		\$ 2,434,626.71	\$ 6,224,171.70	no	\$ -
Johnston	Archer Lodge	\$ -	\$ 21,682.87	no	\$ -
Johnston	Benson	\$ 39,301.23	\$ 84,922.77	no	\$ -
Johnston	Clayton	\$ 160,160.75	\$ 449,438.23	no	\$ -
Johnston	Four Oaks	\$ 9,498.64	\$ 25,495.15	no	\$ -
Johnston	Kenly	\$ 26,015.78	\$ 43,387.50	no	\$ -
Johnston	Micro	\$ 2,922.09	\$ 6,449.49	no	\$ -
Johnston	Pine Level	\$ 9,101.82	\$ 25,151.73	no	\$ -
Johnston	Princeton	\$ 8,106.67	\$ 21,752.92	no	\$ -
Johnston	Selma	\$ 149,542.63	\$ 119,254.52	yes	\$ 30,288.11 \$ 15,144.05
Johnston	Smithfield	\$ 156,998.43	\$ 332,527.71	no	\$ -
Johnston	Wilson's Mills	\$ 3,356.98	\$ 23,318.84	no	\$ -
Jones		\$ 162,143.43	\$ 231,417.64	no	\$ -
Jones	Maysville	\$ 7,909.36	\$ 9,928.31	no	\$ -
Jones	Pollocksville	\$ 2,833.57	\$ 2,574.70	yes	\$ 258.87 \$ 129.44
Jones	Trenton	\$ 2,914.69	\$ 2,315.87	yes	\$ 598.82 \$ 299.41
Lee		\$ 1,667,214.22	\$ 1,997,318.89	no	\$ -
Lee	Broadway	\$ 13,322.32	\$ 41,704.56	no	\$ -
Lee	Sanford	\$ 759,815.43	\$ 968,981.45	no	\$ -
Lenoir		\$ 1,821,627.86	\$ 1,959,878.40	no	\$ -
Lenoir	Kinston	\$ 591,754.06	\$ 569,391.83	yes	\$ 22,362.23 \$ 11,181.12
Lenoir	La Grange	\$ 30,359.19	\$ 36,623.92	no	\$ -
Lenoir	Pink Hill	\$ 17,776.84	\$ 11,866.64	yes	\$ 5,910.20 \$ 2,955.10
Lincoln		\$ 1,720,613.68	\$ 2,751,280.28	no	\$ -
Lincoln	Lincolnton	\$ 499,297.46	\$ 366,959.54	yes	\$ 132,337.92 \$ 66,168.96
Macon		\$ 586,253.51	\$ 1,703,333.17	no	\$ -
Macon	Franklin	\$ 60,615.94	\$ 97,641.72	no	\$ -
Macon	Highlands	\$ 34,671.58	\$ 152,684.83	no	\$ -
Madison		\$ 331,467.72	\$ 518,674.83	no	\$ -
Madison	Hot Springs	\$ 7,267.57	\$ 13,948.75	no	\$ -
Madison	Mars Hill	\$ 26,151.00	\$ 47,663.68	no	\$ -

Hold Harmless Distribution - 2013

		Computed Reimbursements 2002-2003	* Computed Share of Replacement Revenue Projection 2013-14	Is the Computed Share less than the Reimbursements? If yes, by how much?	**2013-2014 Hold Harmless Distribution
County	Municipalities				
Madison	Marshall	\$ 20,834.10	\$ 21,635.04	no	\$ -
Martin		\$ 931,536.41	\$ 824,404.53	yes	\$ 107,131.88
Martin	Bear Grass	\$ 516.00	\$ 890.67	no	\$ -
Martin	Everetts	\$ 1,700.66	\$ 1,641.03	yes	\$ 59.63
Martin	Hamilton	\$ 3,940.94	\$ 5,036.02	no	\$ -
Martin	Hassell	\$ 398.49	\$ 387.74	yes	\$ 10.75
Martin	Jamesville	\$ 5,603.81	\$ 7,562.48	no	\$ -
Martin	Oak City	\$ 3,408.09	\$ 4,205.87	no	\$ -
Martin	Parmele	\$ 2,446.06	\$ 3,696.29	no	\$ -
Martin	Robersonville	\$ 38,870.10	\$ 32,197.63	yes	\$ 6,672.47
Martin	Williamston	\$ 174,427.03	\$ 162,967.97	yes	\$ 11,459.06
McDowell		\$ 1,215,168.40	\$ 1,627,097.74	no	\$ -
McDowell	Marion	\$ 230,836.88	\$ 174,025.47	yes	\$ 56,811.41
McDowell	Old Fort	\$ 147,953.42	\$ 30,606.44	yes	\$ 117,346.98
Mecklenburg		\$ 25,254,438.28	\$ 40,556,220.20	no	\$ -
Mecklenburg	Charlotte	\$ 12,946,699.48	\$ 16,800,622.68	no	\$ -
Mecklenburg	Cornelius	\$ 181,845.53	\$ 514,671.11	no	\$ -
Mecklenburg	Davidson	\$ 150,146.59	\$ 245,136.30	no	\$ -
Mecklenburg	Huntersville	\$ 205,168.33	\$ 699,015.23	no	\$ -
Mecklenburg	Matthews	\$ 269,510.54	\$ 439,826.97	no	\$ -
Mecklenburg	Mint Hill	\$ 94,289.87	\$ 256,201.96	no	\$ -
Mecklenburg	Pineville	\$ 114,953.05	\$ 225,516.38	no	\$ -
Mitchell		\$ 438,041.83	\$ 635,726.30	no	\$ -
Mitchell	Bakersville	\$ 5,390.47	\$ 7,915.65	no	\$ -
Mitchell	Spruce Pine	\$ 78,046.41	\$ 64,723.79	yes	\$ 13,322.62
Montgomery		\$ 671,879.38	\$ 730,520.97	no	\$ -
Montgomery	Biscoe	\$ 43,777.66	\$ 44,776.12	no	\$ -
Montgomery	Candor	\$ 19,432.12	\$ 22,126.31	no	\$ -
Montgomery	Mount Gilead	\$ 47,165.12	\$ 30,810.81	yes	\$ 16,354.31
Montgomery	Star	\$ 105,894.84	\$ 22,913.28	yes	\$ 82,981.56
Montgomery	Troy	\$ 93,294.88	\$ 88,660.13	yes	\$ 4,634.75
Moore		\$ 2,850,827.91	\$ 3,346,094.19	no	\$ -
Moore	Aberdeen	\$ 175,048.49	\$ 242,384.28	no	\$ -
Moore	Cameron	\$ 4,354.09	\$ 10,812.14	no	\$ -
Moore	Carthage	\$ 52,303.12	\$ 83,357.40	no	\$ -
Moore	Foxfire Village	\$ 11,538.30	\$ 34,363.52	no	\$ -
Moore	Pinebluff	\$ 28,197.96	\$ 50,495.10	no	\$ -
Moore	Pinehurst	\$ 337,229.82	\$ 559,894.20	no	\$ -
Moore	Robbins	\$ 54,468.32	\$ 41,497.49	yes	\$ 12,970.83
Moore	Southern Pines	\$ 530,448.39	\$ 467,880.34	yes	\$ 62,568.05
Moore	Taylorstown	\$ 12,619.02	\$ 27,218.46	no	\$ -
Moore	Vass	\$ 22,215.91	\$ 27,193.34	no	\$ -

Hold Harmless Distribution - 2013

		Computed Reimbursements 2002-2003	* Computed Share of Replacement Revenue Projection 2013-14	Is the Computed Share less than the Reimbursements? If yes, by how much?	**2013-2014 Hold Harmless Distribution
County	Municipalities				
Moore	Whispering Pines	\$ 61,077.33	\$ 111,725.41	no	\$ -
Nash		\$ 2,607,147.85	\$ 3,029,095.06	no	\$ -
Nash	Bailey	\$ 10,777.15	\$ 17,905.55	no	\$ -
Nash	Castalia	\$ 1,745.44	\$ 8,453.51	no	\$ -
Nash	Dortches	\$ 672.08	\$ 29,755.52	no	\$ -
Nash	Middlesex	\$ 14,148.28	\$ 25,845.34	no	\$ -
Nash	Momeyer	\$ 848.89	\$ 7,026.23	no	\$ -
Nash	Nashville	\$ 81,353.76	\$ 170,917.32	no	\$ -
Nash	Red Oak	\$ 2,263.21	\$ 108,731.28	no	\$ -
* Nash	Rocky Mount	\$ 1,262,073.15	\$ 1,651,028.01	no	\$ -
* Nash	Sharpsburg	\$ 16,574.34	\$ 47,573.74	no	\$ -
Nash	Spring Hope	\$ 26,595.99	\$ 41,987.97	no	\$ -
New Hanover		\$ 4,532,099.69	\$ 10,751,383.59	no	\$ -
New Hanover	Carolina Beach	\$ 75,850.91	\$ 280,658.44	no	\$ -
New Hanover	Kure Beach	\$ 26,447.06	\$ 121,833.08	no	\$ -
New Hanover	Wilmington	\$ 2,109,818.49	\$ 3,395,583.53	no	\$ -
New Hanover	Wrightsville Beach	\$ 54,960.90	\$ 210,976.78	no	\$ -
Northampton		\$ 470,143.27	\$ 457,991.62	yes	\$ 12,151.65
Northampton	Conway	\$ 15,194.48	\$ 17,359.65	no	\$ -
Northampton	Garysburg	\$ 9,184.53	\$ 21,862.64	no	\$ -
Northampton	Gaston	\$ 10,248.71	\$ 23,944.44	no	\$ -
Northampton	Jackson	\$ 6,075.89	\$ 10,586.64	no	\$ -
Northampton	Lasker	\$ 732.72	\$ 2,533.64	no	\$ -
Northampton	Rich Square	\$ 9,812.36	\$ 20,019.27	no	\$ -
Northampton	Seaboard	\$ 12,098.04	\$ 13,071.63	no	\$ -
Northampton	Severn	\$ 21,344.35	\$ 5,721.53	yes	\$ 15,622.82
Northampton	Woodland	\$ 8,422.97	\$ 16,711.84	no	\$ -
Onslow		\$ 1,649,135.69	\$ 6,867,630.94	no	\$ -
Onslow	Holly Ridge	\$ 20,672.29	\$ 60,375.32	no	\$ -
Onslow	Jacksonville	\$ 481,968.67	\$ 2,154,618.42	no	\$ -
Onslow	North Topsail Beach	\$ 44,231.46	\$ 123,911.88	no	\$ -
Onslow	Richlands	\$ 12,025.88	\$ 50,410.23	no	\$ -
Onslow	Swansboro	\$ 20,795.18	\$ 121,731.40	no	\$ -
Orange		\$ 3,116,011.46	\$ 3,891,348.46	no	\$ -
Orange	Carrboro	\$ 272,742.81	\$ 570,201.12	no	\$ -
* Orange	Chapel Hill	\$ 761,981.58	\$ 1,688,630.49	no	\$ -
Orange	Hillsborough	\$ 123,858.65	\$ 178,206.98	no	\$ -

Hold Harmless Distribution - 2013

		Computed Reimbursements 2002-2003	* Computed Share of Replacement Revenue Projection 2013-14	Is the Computed Share less than the Reimbursements? If yes, by how much?	**2013-2014 Hold Harmless Distribution
County	Municipalities				
Pamlico		\$ 252,691.57	\$ 425,305.80	no	\$ -
Pamlico	Alliance	\$ -	\$ -	no	\$ -
Pamlico	Arapahoe	\$ 893.62	\$ -	yes	\$ 893.62 \$ 446.81
Pamlico	Bayboro	\$ 4,209.99	\$ 3,384.59	yes	\$ 825.40 \$ 412.70
Pamlico	Grantsboro	\$ 1,775.81	\$ 842.54	yes	\$ 933.27 \$ 466.64
Pamlico	Mesic	\$ 1,232.21	\$ 1,115.15	yes	\$ 117.06 \$ 58.53
Pamlico	Minnesott Beach	\$ 2,258.23	\$ 5,592.36	no	\$ -
Pamlico	Oriental	\$ 10,149.38	\$ 18,648.98	no	\$ -
Pamlico	Stonewall	\$ 1,087.06	\$ 3,428.36	no	\$ -
Pamlico	Vandemere	\$ 1,535.98	\$ 1,964.02	no	\$ -
Pasquotank		\$ 815,874.83	\$ 1,612,106.12	no	\$ -
* Pasquotank	Elizabeth City	\$ 272,339.60	\$ 493,698.06	no	\$ -
Pender		\$ 611,793.69	\$ 1,716,745.29	no	\$ -
Pender	Atkinson	\$ 2,226.16	\$ 9,814.57	no	\$ -
Pender	Burgaw	\$ 34,891.01	\$ 126,658.24	no	\$ -
Pender	Saint Helena	\$ 1,628.49	\$ 12,765.51	no	\$ -
* Pender	Surf City	\$ 41,749.10	\$ 100,838.15	no	\$ -
Pender	Topsail Beach	\$ 18,270.65	\$ 12,045.85	yes	\$ 6,224.80 \$ 3,112.40
Pender	Watha	\$ 434.42	\$ 6,237.19	no	\$ -
Perquimans		\$ 185,883.19	\$ 327,379.03	no	\$ -
Perquimans	Hertford	\$ 18,753.79	\$ 52,029.66	no	\$ -
Perquimans	Winfall	\$ 4,745.73	\$ 14,439.90	no	\$ -
Person		\$ 766,202.76	\$ 1,418,171.20	no	\$ -
Person	Roxboro	\$ 210,374.98	\$ 207,584.97	yes	\$ 2,790.01 \$ 1,395.00
Pitt		\$ 2,881,499.09	\$ 5,293,993.51	no	\$ -
Pitt	Ayden	\$ 36,698.86	\$ 154,842.32	no	\$ -
Pitt	Bethel	\$ 15,456.12	\$ 49,414.83	no	\$ -
Pitt	Falkland	\$ 705.29	\$ 3,001.36	no	\$ -
Pitt	Farmville	\$ 149,365.43	\$ 145,917.26	yes	\$ 3,448.17 \$ 1,724.09
Pitt	Fountain	\$ 5,756.01	\$ 13,414.54	no	\$ -
Pitt	Greenville	\$ 1,008,467.24	\$ 2,650,540.59	no	\$ -
* Pitt	Grifton	\$ 18,729.51	\$ 77,688.54	no	\$ -
Pitt	Grimesland	\$ 3,085.45	\$ 13,892.34	no	\$ -
Pitt	Simpson	\$ 3,912.62	\$ 13,031.45	no	\$ -
Pitt	Winterville	\$ 67,632.76	\$ 291,228.71	no	\$ -
Polk		\$ 986,324.78	\$ 538,893.38	yes	\$ 447,431.40 \$ 223,715.70
Polk	Columbus	\$ 28,794.67	\$ 26,076.15	yes	\$ 2,718.52 \$ 1,359.26
* Polk	Saluda	\$ 36,141.69	\$ 19,394.01	yes	\$ 16,747.68 \$ 8,373.84
Polk	Tryon	\$ 97,753.02	\$ 43,340.64	yes	\$ 54,412.38 \$ 27,206.19

Hold Harmless Distribution - 2013

		Computed Reimbursements 2002-2003	* Computed Share of Replacement Revenue Projection 2013-14	Is the Computed Share less than the Reimbursements? If yes, by how much?		**2013-2014 Hold Harmless Distribution
County	Municipalities					
Randolph		\$ 3,114,395.56	\$ 3,825,806.27	no		\$ -
* Randolph	Archdale	\$ 124,540.73	\$ 303,929.70	no		\$ -
Randolph	Asheboro	\$ 900,091.97	\$ 679,665.29	yes	\$ 220,426.68	\$ 110,213.34
Randolph	Franklinville	\$ 7,232.04	\$ 31,372.32	no		\$ -
Randolph	Liberty	\$ 79,831.21	\$ 71,659.13	yes	\$ 8,172.08	\$ 4,086.04
Randolph	Ramseur	\$ 70,358.61	\$ 45,624.58	yes	\$ 24,734.03	\$ 12,367.01
Randolph	Randleman	\$ 123,688.52	\$ 110,870.85	yes	\$ 12,817.67	\$ 6,408.84
Randolph	Seagrove	\$ 12,050.41	\$ 6,124.80	yes	\$ 5,925.61	\$ 2,962.80
Randolph	Staley	\$ 2,311.49	\$ 10,638.37	no		\$ -
Randolph	Trinity	\$ 20,001.41	\$ 178,230.18	no		\$ -
Richmond		\$ 1,076,226.87	\$ 1,392,254.18	no		\$ -
Richmond	Dobbins Heights	\$ 6,989.41	\$ 25,865.56	no		\$ -
Richmond	Ellerbe	\$ 18,859.48	\$ 31,533.82	no		\$ -
Richmond	Hamlet	\$ 76,015.87	\$ 194,941.40	no		\$ -
Richmond	Hoffman	\$ 2,724.51	\$ 17,543.11	no		\$ -
Richmond	Norman	\$ 63.90	\$ 4,171.32	no		\$ -
Richmond	Rockingham	\$ 191,760.71	\$ 285,800.06	no		\$ -
Robeson		\$ 2,896,654.80	\$ 4,042,915.18	no		\$ -
Robeson	Fairmont	\$ 65,628.74	\$ 79,939.39	no		\$ -
Robeson	Lumber Bridge	\$ 933.94	\$ 2,823.40	no		\$ -
Robeson	Lumberton	\$ 498,615.54	\$ 648,779.48	no		\$ -
Robeson	Marietta	\$ 229.66	\$ 5,276.96	no		\$ -
* Robeson	Maxton	\$ 48,269.08	\$ 73,121.21	no		\$ -
Robeson	McDonald	\$ 408.51	\$ 3,394.19	no		\$ -
Robeson	Orrum	\$ 109.96	\$ 2,753.87	no		\$ -
Robeson	Parkton	\$ 3,863.10	\$ 13,146.19	no		\$ -
Robeson	Pembroke	\$ 30,635.62	\$ 88,491.11	no		\$ -
Robeson	Proctorville	\$ 637.02	\$ 3,514.25	no		\$ -
Robeson	Raynham	\$ 366.34	\$ 2,853.42	no		\$ -
Robeson	Red Springs	\$ 41,065.90	\$ 103,099.73	no		\$ -
Robeson	Rennert	\$ 1,158.08	\$ 11,595.26	no		\$ -
Robeson	Rowland	\$ 19,902.35	\$ 31,114.06	no		\$ -
Robeson	Saint Pauls	\$ 53,810.25	\$ 68,258.64	no		\$ -
Rockingham		\$ 3,190,213.07	\$ 2,645,460.57	yes	\$ 544,752.50	\$ 272,376.25
Rockingham	Eden	\$ 462,989.75	\$ 441,132.56	yes	\$ 21,857.19	\$ 10,928.59
Rockingham	Madison	\$ 166,134.79	\$ 63,415.27	yes	\$ 102,719.52	\$ 51,359.76
Rockingham	Mayodan	\$ 87,634.57	\$ 70,137.11	yes	\$ 17,497.46	\$ 8,748.73
Rockingham	Reidsville	\$ 611,935.26	\$ 409,877.61	yes	\$ 202,057.65	\$ 101,028.83
Rockingham	Stoneville	\$ 53,420.93	\$ 29,846.69	yes	\$ 23,574.24	\$ 11,787.12
Rockingham	Wentworth	\$ 1,448.88	\$ 79,313.03	no		\$ -

Hold Harmless Distribution - 2013

		Computed Reimbursements 2002-2003	* Computed Share of Replacement Revenue Projection 2013-14	Is the Computed Share less than the Reimbursements? If yes, by how much?	**2013-2014 Hold Harmless Distribution
County	Municipalities				
Rowan		\$ 3,970,793.09	\$ 3,755,414.80	yes \$ 215,378.29	\$ 107,689.15
Rowan	China Grove	\$ 61,873.40	\$ 107,296.41	no	\$ -
Rowan	Cleveland	\$ 118,717.64	\$ 23,638.59	yes \$ 95,079.05	\$ 47,539.52
Rowan	East Spencer	\$ 34,002.47	\$ 41,493.54	no	\$ -
Rowan	Faith	\$ 10,891.55	\$ 21,938.01	no	\$ -
Rowan	Granite Quarry	\$ 47,761.65	\$ 79,888.20	no	\$ -
Rowan	Landis	\$ 46,603.66	\$ 84,073.41	no	\$ -
Rowan	Rockwell	\$ 38,006.14	\$ 57,181.14	no	\$ -
Rowan	Salisbury	\$ 1,540,731.16	\$ 914,524.17	yes \$ 626,206.99	\$ 313,103.49
Rowan	Spencer	\$ 71,380.89	\$ 88,518.52	no	\$ -
Rutherford		\$ 1,889,676.59	\$ 2,329,142.50	no	\$ -
Rutherford	Bostic	\$ 1,762.20	\$ 2,791.16	no	\$ -
Rutherford	Chimney Rock	\$ 1,474.88	\$ 4,523.00	no	\$ -
Rutherford	Ellenboro	\$ 2,442.46	\$ 3,981.20	no	\$ -
Rutherford	Forest City	\$ 157,200.48	\$ 116,200.09	yes \$ 41,000.39	\$ 20,500.19
Rutherford	Lake Lure	\$ 39,293.39	\$ 148,626.47	no	\$ -
Rutherford	Ruth	\$ 14,226.74	\$ 5,008.05	yes \$ 9,218.69	\$ 4,609.35
Rutherford	Rutherfordton	\$ 109,475.11	\$ 112,747.02	no	\$ -
Rutherford	Spindale	\$ 180,205.03	\$ 86,046.83	yes \$ 94,158.20	\$ 47,079.10
Sampson		\$ 1,278,485.01	\$ 1,928,201.51	no	\$ -
Sampson	Autryville	\$ 1,817.00	\$ 5,936.90	no	\$ -
Sampson	Clinton	\$ 336,727.00	\$ 261,000.32	yes \$ 75,726.68	\$ 37,863.34
Sampson	Garland	\$ 20,645.40	\$ 18,981.80	yes \$ 1,663.60	\$ 831.80
Sampson	Harrells	\$ 994.41	\$ 6,077.79	no	\$ -
Sampson	Newton Grove	\$ 9,284.93	\$ 17,285.57	no	\$ -
Sampson	Roseboro	\$ 19,929.83	\$ 36,176.57	no	\$ -
Sampson	Salemburg	\$ 4,376.41	\$ 13,206.52	no	\$ -
Sampson	Turkey	\$ 1,515.70	\$ 8,905.25	no	\$ -
Scotland		\$ 1,566,451.39	\$ 1,243,327.86	yes \$ 323,123.53	\$ 161,561.77
Scotland	East Laurinburg	\$ -	\$ 942.75	no	\$ -
Scotland	Gibson	\$ 5,637.35	\$ 6,566.15	no	\$ -
Scotland	Laurinburg	\$ 163,383.60	\$ 200,035.81	no	\$ -
Scotland	Wagram	\$ 6,871.16	\$ 10,754.76	no	\$ -
Stanly		\$ 1,504,934.95	\$ 1,817,909.21	no	\$ -
Stanly	Albemarle	\$ 358,858.41	\$ 477,902.03	no	\$ -
Stanly	Badin	\$ 10,204.26	\$ 59,241.39	no	\$ -
Stanly	Locust	\$ 21,577.92	\$ 94,354.42	no	\$ -
Stanly	Misenheimer	\$ -	\$ 20,898.09	no	\$ -
Stanly	New London	\$ 4,156.07	\$ 18,129.93	no	\$ -
Stanly	Norwood	\$ 56,055.73	\$ 71,328.53	no	\$ -
Stanly	Oakboro	\$ 30,893.98	\$ 56,160.25	no	\$ -
Stanly	Red Cross	\$ -	\$ 22,321.88	no	\$ -
Stanly	Richfield	\$ 3,362.71	\$ 18,497.82	no	\$ -
Stanly	Stanfield	\$ 14,701.89	\$ 44,772.18	no	\$ -

Hold Harmless Distribution - 2013

		Computed Reimbursements 2002-2003	* Computed Share of Replacement Revenue Projection 2013-14	Is the Computed Share less than the Reimbursements? If yes, by how much?	**2013-2014 Hold Harmless Distribution	
County	Municipalities					
Stokes		\$ 3,479,264.60	\$ 1,233,059.34	yes	\$ 2,246,205.26	\$ 1,123,102.63
Stokes	Danbury	\$ 707.04	\$ 1,586.48	no		\$ -
* Stokes	King	\$ 69,040.72	\$ 122,064.31	no		\$ -
Stokes	Walnut Cove	\$ 18,509.51	\$ 21,361.08	no		\$ -
Surry		\$ 2,460,512.49	\$ 3,141,302.02	no		\$ -
Surry	Dobson	\$ 30,440.84	\$ 67,846.33	no		\$ -
* Surry	Elkin	\$ 290,675.70	\$ 170,228.39	yes	\$ 120,447.31	\$ 60,223.65
Surry	Mount Airy	\$ 777,176.94	\$ 444,045.94	yes	\$ 333,131.00	\$ 166,565.50
Surry	Pilot Mountain	\$ 80,901.20	\$ 63,168.83	yes	\$ 17,732.37	\$ 8,866.18
Swain		\$ 210,833.53	\$ 520,278.82	no		\$ -
Swain	Bryson City	\$ 24,711.94	\$ 53,044.24	no		\$ -
Transylvania		\$ 1,212,266.85	\$ 1,294,026.99	no		\$ -
Transylvania	Brevard	\$ 196,534.56	\$ 212,488.76	no		\$ -
Transylvania	Rosman	\$ 3,987.20	\$ 4,379.99	no		\$ -
Tyrrell		\$ 103,067.36	\$ 126,310.58	no		\$ -
Tyrrell	Columbia	\$ 9,658.59	\$ 10,527.28	no		\$ -
Union		\$ 2,642,096.95	\$ 6,541,952.23	no		\$ -
Union	Fairview	\$ -	\$ 3,557.44	no		\$ -
Union	Hemby Bridge	\$ 1,609.75	\$ 3.31	yes	\$ 1,606.44	\$ 803.22
Union	Indian Trail	\$ 45,649.57	\$ 203,102.53	no		\$ -
Union	Lake Park	\$ 10,576.32	\$ 24,893.79	no		\$ -
Union	Marshville	\$ 33,470.35	\$ 29,010.14	yes	\$ 4,460.21	\$ 2,230.10
Union	Marvin	\$ 2,502.62	\$ 21,514.93	no		\$ -
Union	Mineral Springs	\$ 3,026.17	\$ 2,901.29	yes	\$ 124.88	\$ 62.44
Union	Monroe	\$ 763,958.86	\$ 791,771.88	no		\$ -
* Union	Stallings	\$ 33,797.37	\$ 143,343.57	no		\$ -
Union	Unionville	\$ 8,889.01	\$ 4,223.94	yes	\$ 4,665.07	\$ 2,332.54
Union	Waxhaw	\$ 22,559.48	\$ 181,687.83	no		\$ -
Union	Weddington	\$ 13,607.87	\$ 24,801.25	no		\$ -
Union	Wesley Chapel	\$ 5,988.56	\$ 6,334.76	no		\$ -
Union	Wingate	\$ 11,470.21	\$ 21,174.23	no		\$ -
Vance		\$ 1,433,828.54	\$ 1,704,606.31	no		\$ -
Vance	Henderson	\$ 434,026.03	\$ 415,390.30	yes	\$ 18,635.73	\$ 9,317.87
Vance	Kittrell	\$ 394.23	\$ 547.15	no		\$ -
Vance	Middleburg	\$ 797.97	\$ 1,137.74	no		\$ -

Hold Harmless Distribution - 2013

		Computed Reimbursements 2002-2003	* Computed Share of Replacement Revenue Projection 2013-14	Is the Computed Share less than the Reimbursements? If yes, by how much?	**2013-2014 Hold Harmless Distribution
County	Municipalities				
Wake		\$ 14,056,573.79	\$ 31,054,060.62	no	\$ -
Wake	Apex	\$ 479,880.09	\$ 1,295,862.90	no	\$ -
* Wake	Cary	\$ 1,291,226.66	\$ 4,662,830.83	no	\$ -
Wake	Fuquay-Varina	\$ 252,805.30	\$ 623,079.64	no	\$ -
Wake	Garner	\$ 255,044.95	\$ 884,992.19	no	\$ -
Wake	Holly Springs	\$ 122,218.94	\$ 855,014.41	no	\$ -
Wake	Knightdale	\$ 61,434.53	\$ 397,280.26	no	\$ -
Wake	Morrisville	\$ 299,797.28	\$ 647,342.57	no	\$ -
* Wake	Raleigh	\$ 5,442,220.83	\$ 13,864,730.90	no	\$ -
Wake	Rolesville	\$ 10,019.55	\$ 132,398.24	no	\$ -
Wake	Wake Forest	\$ 286,482.96	\$ 1,024,140.05	no	\$ -
Wake	Wendell	\$ 55,748.28	\$ 200,565.45	no	\$ -
Wake	Zebulon	\$ 595,685.97	\$ 151,385.76	yes	\$ 444,300.21 \$ 222,150.10
Warren		\$ 424,616.39	\$ 530,412.79	no	\$ -
Warren	Macon	\$ 643.61	\$ 3,014.86	no	\$ -
Warren	Norlina	\$ 9,044.27	\$ 28,254.12	no	\$ -
Warren	Warrenton	\$ 23,432.06	\$ 21,726.74	yes	\$ 1,705.32 \$ 852.66
Washington		\$ 315,723.77	\$ 399,159.05	no	\$ -
Washington	Creswell	\$ 2,275.36	\$ 3,859.75	no	\$ -
Washington	Plymouth	\$ 57,379.96	\$ 64,245.35	no	\$ -
Washington	Roper	\$ 4,667.98	\$ 8,572.27	no	\$ -
Watauga		\$ 723,452.32	\$ 2,235,488.60	no	\$ -
* Watauga	Beech Mountain	\$ 55,140.84	\$ 14,027.56	yes	\$ 41,113.28 \$ 20,556.64
* Watauga	Blowing Rock	\$ 74,289.25	\$ 53,101.30	yes	\$ 21,187.95 \$ 10,593.97
Watauga	Boone	\$ 245,303.13	\$ 757,013.14	no	\$ -
* Watauga	Seven Devils	\$ 14,971.53	\$ 8,572.00	yes	\$ 6,399.53 \$ 3,199.77
Wayne		\$ 2,166,643.29	\$ 4,227,889.12	no	\$ -
Wayne	Eureka	\$ 3,305.84	\$ 4,839.08	no	\$ -
Wayne	Fremont	\$ 39,628.29	\$ 26,250.10	yes	\$ 13,378.19 \$ 6,689.10
Wayne	Goldsboro	\$ 1,051,463.21	\$ 1,160,636.01	no	\$ -
* Wayne	Mount Olive	\$ 118,612.18	\$ 108,436.62	yes	\$ 10,175.56 \$ 5,087.78
Wayne	Pikeville	\$ 10,088.02	\$ 19,652.60	no	\$ -
Wayne	Seven Springs	\$ 1,277.62	\$ 1,938.48	no	\$ -
Wayne	Walnut Creek	\$ 22,156.78	\$ 48,278.84	no	\$ -
Wilkes		\$ 1,813,201.49	\$ 2,479,983.84	no	\$ -
Wilkes	North Wilkesboro	\$ 263,738.89	\$ 152,282.19	yes	\$ 111,456.70 \$ 55,728.35
Wilkes	Ronda	\$ 4,081.26	\$ 14,896.26	no	\$ -
Wilkes	Wilkesboro	\$ 170,665.20	\$ 122,117.26	yes	\$ 48,547.94 \$ 24,273.97

Hold Harmless Distribution - 2013

County	Municipalities	Computed Reimbursements 2002-2003	* Computed Share of Replacement Revenue Projection 2013-14	Is the Computed Share less than the Reimbursements? If yes, by how much?	**2013-2014 Hold Harmless Distribution
Wilson		\$ 2,201,879.22	\$ 2,953,452.12	no	\$ -
Wilson	Black Creek	\$ 5,209.23	\$ 10,477.10	no	\$ -
Wilson	Elm City	\$ 47,160.22	\$ 22,850.59	yes \$ 24,309.63	\$ 12,154.82
Wilson	Lucama	\$ 6,243.19	\$ 11,280.61	no	\$ -
Wilson	Saratoga	\$ 3,432.33	\$ 6,035.23	no	\$ -
Wilson	Sims	\$ 1,724.24	\$ 4,408.65	no	\$ -
Wilson	Stantonsburg	\$ 4,631.54	\$ 10,720.96	no	\$ -
Wilson	Wilson	\$ 801,113.87	\$ 1,233,813.61	no	\$ -
Yadkin		\$ 806,694.77	\$ 1,104,395.76	no	\$ -
Yadkin	Boonville	\$ 26,276.25	\$ 18,019.14	yes \$ 8,257.11	\$ 4,128.56
Yadkin	East Bend	\$ 8,406.51	\$ 10,434.60	no	\$ -
Yadkin	Jonesville	\$ 37,104.58	\$ 46,066.05	no	\$ -
Yadkin	Yadkinville	\$ 91,733.28	\$ 49,501.78	yes \$ 42,231.50	\$ 21,115.75
Yancey		\$ 386,977.56	\$ 607,235.03	no	\$ -
Yancey	Burnsville	\$ 47,718.13	\$ 57,662.95	no	\$ -
		\$ 333,443,973.15	\$ 493,220,064.80	\$ 17,998,709.72	\$ 8,999,419.70

* Replacement revenue is defined in G.S. 105-521(a)(3a). Effective January 1, 2008, calculation of the Hold Harmless Distribution changed from a projection of Article 44 estimated revenue to a projection of replacement revenue.

**The calculation of the 2013 Distribution is defined in G.S. 105-521(b). This calculation was changed effective for the 2013 Distribution